



Moving Outside the Lab: Improved Productivity and Safety for Specimen Collection

> Case Studies Jonathon Northover, J.D., BVC

> > Path to the heart of healthcare



Agenda

- Introduction
 - The pre-analytical phase
- Case Studies
 - Phlebotomy
 - Nursing
 - Mixed
- Summary

Specimen Lifecycle



Beyond the four walls of the lab

Laboratory







Has your hospital incurred an adverse event due to a patient mismatch in the last year?



**For this survey's purposes, "adverse" is defined as: a negative consequence of care that results in unintended injury or illness.



Pre-analytical phase



- PPID and Safety: NPSG 01.01.01
 - (i) Use at least two patient identifiers when providing care, treatment and <u>services</u>
 - (ii) Label containers used for blood and other specimens in the presence of the patient
 - Applies to: Ambulatory, Behavioral Health Care, Critical Access Hospital, Home Care, Hospital, Lab, Long Term Care, Office-Based Surgery
- Bedside lab-ready labeling



Background:

- 600 bed hospital
- Nationally ranked
- 30,000 admissions per year

Problem:

- Fast turnover of phlebotomists
- Scheduling needed
- TJC PPID coincided with unfavorable internal quality report
 - 7 in 1,000 (average for CP is .92 in 1,000*) mislabeled specimens
 - In addition to mislabeled tubes:
 - tubes arriving without requisition
 - requisition arriving without the tube
 - tubes that were not labeled

* Elizabeth A. Wagar, Ana K. Stankovic, Stephen Raab, Raouf E. Nakhleh and Molly K. Walsh (2008) Specimen Labeling Errors: A Q-Probes Analysis of 147 Clinical Laboratories. Archives of Pathology & Laboratory Medicine: October 2008, Vol. 132, No. 10, pp. 1617-1622.



Solution

- Technology?
 - Yes, IF you have
 - Good **<u>Connectivity</u>**, appropriate firmware, good choice of hardware ...
- Partnerships
- Training

Result and lessons learned

- Hardware choose carefully
- Train the trainer
- 2 4 errors per month
- Next steps
 - Root cause
 - Rainbow in the ED





AVERAGE TAT IN MINUTES



Background:

- Multi-hospital system
- 10,000 employees
- 6,000,000 lab tests per year

Problem:

- Mislabeling issue for 10 years!
 - 300 labeling errors per month
 - 0.06% or .6 in 1,000 (average for CP is .92 in 1,000*)
 - MOST were patient ID (wrong label on wrong blood; batch printing)
- Nursing hurdles
 - Change management

* Elizabeth A. Wagar, Ana K. Stankovic, Stephen Raab, Raouf E. Nakhleh and Molly K. Walsh (2008) Specimen Labeling Errors: A Q-Probes Analysis of 147 Clinical Laboratories. Archives of Pathology & Laboratory Medicine: October 2008, Vol. 132, No. 10, pp. 1617-1622.









Solution

- 2010: new patient-focused care model
 - Step one Lean and Six Sigma (-50%)
 - Step two Training and socialization
 - Step three Technology (5 years prior Med Admin)

Result

- Used in ICU, labor and delivery, outpatient draw centers, ED
- Immediate decrease in errors from 8 10 per month to 2 per month
- Technology only sustains what already must be in place
- Nursing leadership vital
- Hardware support comfort factor it CAN be done
- Next steps:
 - Micro needed
 - Mobility for outpatients
 - IR new challenges!



- Background
 - Large network of academic, community and specialist hospitals
 - Top 20 ranked
 - One location HIMSS Stage 7 in EHR implementation
- Issues
 - TAT targets
 - Labeling!
 - Slowing down the laboratory
 - Nursing
 - Integration REQUIRED: device fatigue...
 - But this is a lab process!
 - Safety draw responsibility

Case Study 2 – Mixed Draws - After



- Solution
 - One size does not fit all
 - Nurses WOWs
 - Phlebotomy Handhelds
 - Locks
 - 'Single Sign On'
 - Internal IT worked with MS to build Sentillion Bridge
 - LDAP
 - SQ and Epic
 - 'Batch' monitoring of hardware (Soti)
 - Designated draw system
- Result
 - Single sign on with badge, tap and go with RFID reader for 800 nurses
 - 100 phlebotomists
 - Improved TAT by 15 30%; some remaining errors
 - Next steps
 - Mobility and better outpatient support
 - Palm Vein Pattern readers

HIS integration







Summary

- 'Danger' areas
 - PPID
 - Connectivity, connectivity, connectivity
 - Hardware
 - They are <u>NOT</u> all equal
 - Culture shifts
 - Change management
 - Cultural ownership
 - Prove concept
 - Partnerships
 - Technology support up front
 - Let them do the heavy lifting
 - Demand more of your vendors!
 - Let them do the heavy lifting!

Summary







Questions?



Thank you!

Jonathon.Northover@sunquestinfo.com

Introduction



Using case studies, and a 'before' and 'after' analysis, the purpose is to outline the extent to which the lab can benefit from owning the pre-analytical phase in terms of increased efficiencies and increased safety, whether their specimens are collected by nurses or phlebotomists.

Key Learning

- Laboratory's ability to impact its business by focusing on the pre-analytical phase.
- Lessons learned what to do and what to avoid when implementing a new patient identification and collection workflow.
- Basic integration and usability that can lead to successful technology adoption by non-lab personnel.

Attendees Will

 Attendees will learn how to implement a collection process with simple integration points between their collection technology and their enterprise systems that enhances adoption, turnaround time efficiencies, and avoids labeling and/or patient identification errors.