

Continuous Process Improvement

QUALITY () WASTE) > PRODUCTIVITY () > COST /

Using Systems Engineering to Improve Laboratory Operations

Presenter: Mohammed Mustapha

Tackling Phlebotomy's Toughest Challenges:

How Mayo Clinic Balanced Phlebotomy Workload and Reduced Patient Wait time



Continuous Process Improvement: Using Systems Engineering to Improve Laboratory Operations

© 2011 Mayo Foundation for Medical Education and Research. All Rights Reserved

Case Study :

Reducing patient wait time

phlebotomy lab



Continuous Process Improvement: Using Systems Engineering to Improve Laboratory Operations

© 2011 Mayo Foundation for Medical Education and Research. All Rights Reserved.



Laboratory Background

- Size:
 - Largest of 3 phlebotomy lab service areas
- Services:
 - Blood and urine collection
- Workload:
 - Busiest days of the week (Monday Wednesday)
 - 1000 -1200 patients on busy days
 - 75% of workload between 6 and 10 am





Business Case

Problems:

- Patients presenting to the phlebotomy lab on Monday mornings between 6:00 and 10:00 am spend significant amount of time waiting.
- 53% of patients wait more than 15 minutes
- More patients arriving early in the morning
- Goal:
 - Less than 20% of patients wait more than 15 min.





o Medical Laboratorie

Understand the Process

Follow and observe the patient experience

- Time the processes (value and non value added activities)
- Identify bottlenecks and wastes in the processes



Using Systems Engineering to Improve Laboratory Operations



Data Collection

- Queue time (time spent waiting to check in)
- Check-in time (time to complete checking in a patient)
- Wait time (time spent waiting for blood draw)
- Process time (time to complete a blood draw)
- Number of patients per hour
- Patient arrival times





Workload Distribution (M-F)







Patient Arrival by Half Hour

Average hourly workload





Wait time (baseline)

60 measure

MAYO CLINIC Mayo Medical Laboratories Average wait times



^{6*} analyze Factors contributing to long wait times

Patient arrival pattern

- Service types
- Staffing
- Capacity
- Separate check-in desks
- Patients joining the wrong line



⁶ analyze **Determine Root Causes of Problem**



Improper staffing to workload was a major contributor to long wait times.





Implemented solutions

- Workflow redesign
- Staffing to workload



Improvement #1

Workflow redesign



Continuous Process Improvement: Using Systems Engineering to Improve Laboratory Operations

© 2011 Mayo Foundation for Medical Education and Research. All Rights Reserved.

Redesign Goals

- Efficient use of space
- Reduce waste
 - transportation
 - minimize walking distances
 - minimize unnecessary movement by staff
 - waiting
 - ✓ reduce work in progress
 - ✓ reduce inventory
- Improve workflow throughout the lab
- Improve visual management
- Maximize overall operational efficiency



Simulation



MAAYCCCLINNIC Mayor Middeal Actoriotes **Continuous Process Improvement:** Using Systems Engineering to Improve Laboratory Operations

© 2010 Mayo Foundation for Medical Education and Research. All Rights Reserved.

Simulation Goals

- Improve workflow
 - Patient flow
 - Specimen flow
- Reduce patient wait time
 - Check-in line
 - Waiting area



Results: current state





Results: future state





Improvement #2

Staffing to workload



Continuous Process Improvement: Using Systems Engineering to Improve Laboratory Operations

© 2011 Mayo Foundation for Medical Education and Research. All Rights Reserved.



Why Staffing to Workload?

- Respond to changes in demand
 - Patients arriving early in the mornings
- Patient satisfaction
 - Reduce patient wait time
- Respond to staff complaints (fatigue)
 - Reduce staff burn out
- Staff resource planning
 - Capacity planning tool
- Needs of the patient come first
 - Achieving Mayo's mission



How to get started

- Collect and Analyze data
 - Patient volume (daily, hourly)
 - Processing times
 - Wait times
 - # of scheduled staff
- Share findings
- Develop solution options
- Ask for feedback to optimize solution







- Insufficient staff to meet early morning peak demand (6:00 – 10:00 am)
- Patients are waiting longer
- Overcrowding in the lobby





Improvements

Proactive

- Adjust staff to match expected workload based on capacity analysis
- Reactive
 - Manage staff based on need
 - Float staff by sending or asking for help from other work units
 - Offer same day vacations





Maintaining the Gains

- Tracking mechanisms
 - Continue to monitor patient volumes per hour/day
 - Continue to monitor patient waiting times
- Compare patient volumes to staffing
 - "Tweak" schedule
 - Adjust float assignments, breaks, lunches
- Monitor the workload more than once daily



Challenges / Lessons Learned

- Floating staff to other outpatient areas
 - Provide cross functional training
 - Ensure room availability
- Balancing daily staff to workload
 - Over staffing
 - > Too much down time
 - Under staffing
 - > Insufficient staff to deal with sudden increase in patient load





Implemented solutions

- Rearranged staff schedule to match workload at peak hours
- Float staff to areas that need help
- Introduced dedicated staff to deal with check in issues





Future Plans

- Monitor patient wait times
- Respond quickly to issues
- Continue to improve processes



Questions ?



Continuous Process Improvement: Using Systems Engineering to Improve Laboratory Operations

© 2011 Mayo Foundation for Medical Education and Research. All Rights Reserved.