10 Essentials of Successful, Rapid Change Management in the Lab

Presented by Jim Ellis & Leo Serrano
Lab Quality Confab
New Orleans

3rd Day Workshop – October 23rd, 2014

Robert Michel, **Opening Remarks**

James (Jim) E. Ellis – BS & MS (the Ohio State University), MBA (Bryant University), MBB (certified Master Black Belt, lean & 6 Sigma, Johnson & Johnson)

Recently retired from Ortho Clinical Diagnostics/Johnson & Johnson and Ciba Corning Diagnostics (Novartis). Over 40 years experience in sales, marketing, mfg., product development and R&D in clinical diagnostics. Holder of 3 patents in immunology and clinical automation. Certified Miller/Heiman Large Account Management Process (LAMP) Instructor. Author of Multiple White Papers, Publications, Abstracts, Presentations. Was a pioneer in the early introduction of lean and 6 sigma into laboratories in late 1990's. Speaker will share experiences from years of conducting successful, rapid change management projects in various lab, research and mfg. environments.

Currently, Jim is a managing partner at MME Consulting, LLC. He and his wife, Margaret, live in Aiken, SC, and are enjoying semi-retirement with enough consulting work to keep them still engaged in healthcare.

Example: **Change Management** Project

Successful? Rapid? Change? Management?

Was the "Customer" really more satisfied after the change?



Property of Lab Quality Confab, Copyright Protected For more information contact:

J. Ellis at jellisaiken@gmail.com and/or

Title of Workshop – the words - We have chosen the words to the title of this workshop with purpose:

- **Successful**—in the eyes of your customer, adds value, operational definition, what would success look like, how would you measure it & know when it is achieved?
- Rapid 1st project 30 days or less, majority 90 days or less, max. 12 months
- Change operational definition, measurement before & after, and time bound
- Management = Leadership, YOU!

Apply the 10 essentials in the order presented and you have a very high probability of success. Start small. Steep learning curve. Practice makes perfect. Let's get started!

Purpose of Workshop:

We have titled this workshop, "10 Essentials of Successful, Rapid Change Management in the Lab". The purpose will be to **impart** to the workshop attendees **a process for successful, rapid change management in the lab** that has been evolved and **used successfully by the two speakers throughout their careers.**

Key Learnings:

At the end of the workshop we would expect the attendees to have learned that there is a methodical, sequential process broken into **10** essential steps that when mastered will produce successful, rapid change management results. The attendees have the opportunity to learn about specific tools that can be used in each of the 10 steps which when applied will accelerate the time to do a change management project and will increase the probability of success. Below is a listing of some of the tools that will be presented and described:

4 Stages of Competence Ho-Hum Crasher

5 Styles of Thinking Impact vs. Effort Diagram

VOC 5 Why's

CTQ Tree 5 S
Gantt Chart SIPOC

DMAIC Roadmap Value Stream Map

Data Collection Plan Kano
PIP FMEA

Job Jar Prioritization Matrix

The hidden secrets to putting all these tools to work in a specific sequence to tell a "story" of success will be the greatest learning shared with the attendees.

At the end of the workshop attendees will......

...understand the process developed over many years for conducting successful, rapid change management projects in the lab

...understand what the 10 Essentials are to successful, rapid change management in the lab


...understand what sequence to apply the 10 Essentials & what tools are best used in each of the 10 steps

...have a tutorial containing written summaries of the process along with the slides used

...have a list of appropriate references specific to each of the 10 steps

... have contact information to reach out to both speakers

At the end of today's Workshop you will know how to do this & what each line, color, section and number means as you progress through the "10 Essentials of Successful, Rapid Change Management in the Lab"



Property of Lab Quanty Comab, Copyright protected, all rights reserved)

For more information contact:

J. Ellis at jellisaiken@gmail.com and/or

L. Serrano at Lserrano1944@gmail.com

Agenda – 10 Essentials of Successful, Rapid Change Management in the Lab:

- 1. *Learning to "Think"
- 2. *Learning to "See" the Opportunities
- 3. Prioritize the Opportunities Make your Shortlist in Priority Order
- 4. Define Success for each Change (measure of Success, time frame, value
- 5. Pick your team (Stakeholders, Team Captain, Players)
- 6. Gantt your time line for the complete project (all steps of DMAIC)

LUNCH

- 7. *Learning to "Execute" your plan (detail out all steps of DMAIC)
- 8. Create a "Job Jar" of Future Changes as you go along but stay focused on current change
- 9. Presentation/s to Stakeholders, Customers, Team (possible PIP use)
- 10. *Learning to "Count"

Summary of Workshop & Closing Remarks
Interactive Session with Q&A – if we have time we can work on some of your projects.

- R&R
- Ready & Receptive
- Ready to embark on change
- Receptive to accepting "I don't know what I don't know.
- Receptive to take on a leadership role in initiating successful, rapid change within your laboratory and institution.

The First Tool in this section helps get at "Thinking"

Uncover the "Styles" of thinking that are predominant in a Western business culture. You can read all about this in detail by going to the book "The Art of Thinking" by A. Harrison & R. Bramson

Exercise on Styles of Thinking

Learning to Think - Exercise

Your Question*:

For me, charts and graphs in a book or article are usually:

- 1. More useful than the narrative, if they are accurate.
- 2. Useful, if they clearly display the important facts.
- 3. Useful, if supported and explained by the narrative.
- 4. Useful, if they raise questions about the narrative.
- 5. No more and no less useful than other material.

Circle one answer that is most like what you think.

*(Pg 209, "The Art of Thinking", A.F. Harrison & R.M. Bramson)

5 Thinking Styles*:

- 1. Synthesist
- 2. Idealist
- 3. Pragmatist
- 4. Analyst
- 5. Realist

^{* (&}quot;The Art of Thinking", A.F. Harrison & R.M. Bramson)

TO STYLES OF THINKING

Orientation:	SYNTHESIST	II IDEALIST
Characterized by:	tegrative view	Assimilative or holistic
	Sees likeness in apparent unlikes	Broad range of views welcomed
	Seeks conflict & synthesis	Seeks ideal solutions
	targeted / Juange	Interested in values
	Speculative Data meaningless w/o interpretation	Receptive Data & theory of equal value
Strengths:	Focus on underlying assumptions Points out abstract conceptual aspects	Focus on process, relationships Points out values & aspirations
	Good at preventing over- agreement Best in controversial conflict-laden situations	Good at articulating goals Best in unstructured, value-laden situations
	Provides debate & creativity	Provides broad view, goals and standard
Liabilities:	May screen out agreement May seek conflict	May screen out "hard" data May delay from too
And the second s	unnecessarily May try too hard for change & newness	many choices May try too hard for "perfect solutions"
	May theorize excessively Gan appear uncommitted	May overlook details Can appear overly sentimental

(Pgs 114-115, "The Art of Thinking", A.F. Harrison & R.M. Bramson)

5 Styles of Thinking

III PRAGMATIST	IV ANALYST	V REALIST
Eclectic view	Formal logic & deduction	Empirical view &
"Whether the"	Seeks "one best way	Relies on "facts" & expert opinion
Seeks shortest route to payoff	formulas Interested in "scientific"	Sec. 1 anat meet current needs Interested in concrete
Adaptive Any data or theory that gets us there	solutions Prescriptive Theory and method over data	results Corrective Data over theory
Focus on payoff	Focus on method & plan Points out data & details	Focus on facts & results Points out realities &
Points out tactics & strategies Good at identifying impacts	Good at model-building & planning Best in structured, calculatable	resources Good at simplifying, "cutting through" Best in well-defined,
Best in complex, incremental situations Provides experiment &	situations Provides stability & structure	objective situations Provides drive & momentum
innovation		
May screen out long- range aspects	May screen out values & subjectives	May screen out disagreement
May rush too quickly to payoff	May over-plan, over- analyze	May rush to over- simplified solutions
May try too hard for expediency	May try too hard for predictability	May try too hard for consensus & immediate response
May rely too much on what "sells"	May be inflexible, overly cautious	May over-emphasize perceived "facts"
Can appear over- compromising	Can appear tunnel- visioned	Can appear too results- oriented



TO STYLES OF THINKING

WHAT TO LOOK

"Bleeding Heart"

AND LISTEN FOR	YNTHESIST	IDEALIST
Apt to appear:	Challenging, skeptical, amused; or may appear tuned out, but alert when disagrees.	Attentive, receptive; often supportive smile, head nodding, much verbal feedback.
Apt to say:	"On the other hand" "No, that's not necessarily so"	"It seems to me" "Don't you think that ?"
Apt to express:	Concepts, opposite points of view; speculates, may identify absurdities.	Feelings, ideas about values, what's good for people, concerns about goals.
Tone:	Sardonic, probing, skeptical; may sound argumentative.	Inquiring, hopeful; may sound tentative or disappointed and resentful.
Enjoys:	Speculative, philosophical, intellectual argument.	Feeling-level discussions about people and their problems.
Apt to use:	Parenthetical expressions, qualifying adjectives and phrases.	Indirect questions, aids to gain agreement.
Dislikes:	Talk that seems simplistic, superficially polite, fact-centered, repetitive, "mundane."	Talk that seems too data-bound, factual, "dehumanizing"; and openly conflictual argument unless about issues of caring or
Under stress:	Pokes fun.	
onder stress:	FORES IUII.	Looks hurt.

"Troublemaker"

5 Styles of Thinking

PRAGMATIST	ANALYST	REALIST
Open, sociable; often a good deal of humor, interplay, quick to agree.	Cool, studious, often hard to read; may be a lack of feedback, as if hearing you out.	Direct, forceful; agreement and disagreement often quickly expressed nonverbally.
"I'll buy that"	"It stands to reason"	"It's obvious to me"
"That's sure one way to go "	"If you look at it logically "	"Everybody knows that "
Non-complex ideas; may tell brief personal anecdotes to explain ideas.	General rules; describes things systematically, offers substantiating data,	Opinions; describes factually, may offer short, pointed anecdotes
Enthusiastic, agreeable; may sound insincere.	Dry, disciplined, careful; may sound set, stubborn.	Forthright, positive; may sound dogmatic or domineering.
Brainstorming around tactical issues; lively give-and-take.	Structured, rational examination of substantive issues.	Short, direct, factual discussions of immediate matters.
Case examples, illustrations, popular opinions.	Long, discursive, well- formulated sentences.	Direct, pithy, descriptive statements.
Talk that seems dry, dull, humorless; or too conceptual, ohilosophical, analytical, 'nit-picking."	Talk that seems irrational, aimless, or too speculative, "far-out"; and irrelevant humor.	Talk that seems too theoretical, sentimental, subjective, impractical, "long-winded."
Looks bored.	Withdraws.	Gets agitated.
'Politician"	"Great Stone Face"	"Blockhead"

(Pgs 110-111, "The Art of Thinking", A.F. Harrison & R.M. Bramson)

Stereotype:

Results of Exercise*:

		Pred. Range
1.	Analyst	30-35% _F
2.	Realist	19-24%
3.	Idealist	32-37%
4.	Synthesist	6-11%
5.	Pragmatist	13-18% ^L

For me, charts and graphs in a book or article are usually:

- 1. More useful than the narrative, if they are accurate.
- 2. Useful, if they clearly display the important facts.
- 3. Useful, if supported and explained by the narrative.
- 4. Useful, if they raise questions about the narrative.
- 5. No more and no less useful than other material.

^{* (&}quot;The Art of Thinking", A.F. Harrison & R.M. Bramson)

1. Learning to "Think" Results for J. Ellis – complete test*:

(complete test is 18 questions, 5 answers, rank 5-1, total pts. = 270)

		Scor
1.	Realist	63
2.	Analyst	60
3.	Pragmatist	52
4.	Idealist	48
5.	Synthesist	<u>47</u>
	Tota	1 270

Conclusion:

Balanced after 40 years Favor Realist & Analyst (no surprise!) Would not have been like this 35 yrs ago 4 points or less is not statistically different

^{* (&}quot;The Art of Thinking", A.F. Harrison & R.M. Bramson)

Hints & Suggestions of how to "Think" for success in successful, rapid change management projects:

Strategic Thinking

Game/War

Tactical Thinking

You are always Selling

Tactical Thinking

Think Business

Behavioral Thinking

"I think I can, I think I can, I think I can"

(The Little Engine that Could – W. Piper, 1930)

The Second Tool for helping you "Think" will help you know if a person is "getting it" and at what level they are proficient in "getting it".

(The Four Stages of Competence (Abraham Maslow, 1940)

- Unconscious Incompetence
- Conscious Incompetence
- Conscious Competence
- Unconscious Competence

How to:

1. Learning to "Think"

- Apply the concepts introduced in this "Learning to Think" section.
- Read!
- Talk to peers.
- Go to seminars like the Lab Confab, War College, etc.
- Hire consultants that teach you how to "think" in change terms.
- Train your stakeholders, your change team and the active and passive recipients of your change in "Learning to Think"

Example: Project

Major Large Reference Lab with multiple sites - IA Inf. Disease Change

- Had a core stakeholder & deployment team that was R&R and trained in Change Thinking. Team was primarily loaded with hybrids of Analysts and Realists. Competency was at a Stage 3.
- 2. **Strategic Thinking** Analyze the competition, couldn't win on traditional head-to-head, used a **guerilla tactic** to carve out new space of **Price vs. Value and Process vs. Technology Features**.
- 3. **Selling Thinking** what was value (**success**) **for the customer**, how could it be measured, when did it need to be delivered, how much would it cost?
- 4. **Business Thinking** what positive impact would it have on operations, what did the **financial business case** look like over multiple purchasing options, was it a good investment in the end?
- 5. **Behavioral Thinking** could my team deliver on expectations and time frame, how did we get each site to be R&R and acting like the "little train"

Results: Won the contract, was not the lowest price bidder, demonstrated total overall lower cost due to process + product approach that delivered more value than competitive bid and current state. Delivered the major change over multiple sites with an excellent implementation plan that beat goal by 6 months. Exceeded expectations of the customer. Contract was renewed twice and still has not gone out for RFP.

Tool/s: 5 Styles of Thinking

The Four Stages of Competence

Reference/s: The Art of Thinking – A. Harrison & R. Bramson, 1982

The Four Stages of Competence - A. Maslow, 1940

The Art of War – Sun-tzu, 1994

Guerilla Marketing – J. Levinson, 1984 Consultative Selling – M. Hanan, 1995

Successful Large Account Management (LAMP) – R. Miller & S. Heiman, 1991

The Little Engine that Could – W. Piper, 1930

Other Books to Consider:

Sacred Cows Make the Best Burgers – R. Kriegel & D. Brandt, 1996

Who Moved my Cheese – Dr. S. Johnson, 1998

Statement/s to Remember:

"You don't know what you don't know."

"The only constant is change."

In Summary

Leading a successful, rapid change management project requires you to "Learn how to Think" probably a little differently than you have in the past. Recognize that everyone thinks differently and that in your quest to be successful you will most likely run into all 5 Thinking Styles and combinations of them. Use various behavioral approaches for each individual that you must influence in order to be successful with your change project. Recognize the competency level of yourself and your team members as you progress through your project. Appropriately coach, assist, train, etc. for each team member based upon their competency level of the desired skill. Use the "Thinking" hints from those that have been successful leading change management projects. At the end of this step you will be ready to "Learn how to See" your change opportunities.

Leo Serrano, FACHE, DLM(ASCP) ^{CM} Lean/6 Sigma Black Belt

With over 40 years of laboratory leadership experience, he has a long history of being a change agent and thought leader. He was the driving force in leading the 2nd laboratory in the US to apply the Ortho Process Excellence program while at West Tennessee Healthcare in Jackson, Tennessee. As a Lean/6 sigma black belt Laboratory Director, he led the Process Excellence Program at Avera McKennan Hospital in Sioux Falls, South Dakota and while there they became the first US hospital laboratory to achieve CAP ISO 15189 Accreditation. He is currently the Corporate Director of Laboratory Services at Broward Health, the 10 largest public healthcare system in the US. Over the past 2+ years, he has led numerous change projects in the very competitive South Florida marketplace.

LEARNING TO SEE

LEO SERRANO

Learning to See

- Leadership Leaders must:
 - Be customer focused
 - Voice of the customer
 - Challenge the status quo (A-I-O)
 - Promote tough standards
 - Be visible and available
 - Champion excellence
 - Act with integrity (3 M's)
 - Facilitate teamwork

It's That "Vision Thing"

 "The very essence of leadership is that you have to have a vision. It's got to be a vision you articulate clearly and forcefully on every occasion."

> —Theodore Hesburgh President of the University of Notre Dame

Learning to See (examples)

- Need to do more with less!
 - Why do we do it this way?
 - 5 Why's
 - Look at what can be changed? Be creative!
 - Decreasing volume/revenues WHAT TO DO?
 - How do we do our work?
 - Value Stream, Process Map, SIPOC
 - What does the lab look like?
 - Take pictures of the lab- critique them
 - 5 S your lab
 - » Sort, Segregate, Shine, Strengthen, Standardize

Learning to See

- What is wrong with the status quo?
 - Ask your "customers"
- Methods for Customer Input
 - Know who your customers are.
 - Customer satisfaction surveys
 - Improvement surveys <u>ask</u> what they think
 - Measure areas surveyed
 - Encourage customer feedback-suggestion boxes
 - Visit with your customers ask what they need
 - Customize the input process to fit the customer base.


Setting the Vision – Value Stream Mapping

- High-level view of all of the activities required to take a specific product from raw material (tube of blood) to the finished product (reported test result)
 - Current state map
 - Identifies current processes
 - Captures critical metrics
 - Future state map
 - Identifies projects to eliminate variation, errors and waste
 - Will become the new Current State


Challenge the Status Quo

- What is wrong with the status quo?
 - Ask your "customers"
- Value Stream Mapping
 - Allows for visualization of the processes in an orderly fashion
 - Allows for a timeline that shows time for each process or sub-process
 - Process Mapping just shows the processes in an orderly fashion-no timeline
- Spaghetti Diagrams
 - Useful for visualizing walk patterns pre and post lean design

Sample VSM



Sample Spaghetti Diagram



Prioritizing The Opportunities

LEO SERRANO

Prioritization

- You should consider creating a prioritization matrix if:
- You cannot do everything at once,
- You are uncertain about the best use of your resources or energy or
- You are looking toward specific improvement goals.

Prioritization

- For example, if the team is considering which improvement step to attack first, some of their criteria might be:
 - Low investment cost
 - Maximum use of existing technology
 - High potential dollar savings
 - High improvement potential for process speed
 - High improvement potential for defect reduction
 - High customer satisfaction potential
 - Minimum impact on other processes
 - Ease of implementation
 - High probability of quick results

Prioritization Matrix

		Criteria being compared to								Row	
	Low cost	Use of technology	Potential Savings	mereased	Decreased	Customer Satisfaction	Minimal	Easy to	Quick	Total	%
Criteria	a.	b.	c.	d.	e.	f.	g.	h.	L		
a. Low Cost		5	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.2	1	5	1	12.6	7.5%
b. Use of Technology	0.2		0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	5	1	1	8	4.8%
c. Potential Saving	10	5		5	5	5	10	10	1	51	30.3%
d. Increased Speed	5	5	0.2		11/1	1.	1.	5	1	19.2	11.4%
e. Decreased Defects	10	5	0.2	1		1	5	5	1	28.2	16.7%
f. Cutomer Satisfaction	5	5	0.2	1	11		5	5	5	27.2	16.2%
g. Minimum Impact	1	0.2	0.1	1	0.2	0.2			0.2	3.9	2.3%
h. Easy to Implement	0.2	1	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.2			0.2	3.1	1.8%
i. Quick Results	1	1	1	1	1	0.2	5	5		15.2	9.0%
Column Total	32.4	27.2	21	9.6	8.7	8	33	37	10.4	168.4	100.0%

- Agree on the objective
- List criteria to meet the goal
- Compare relative importance of the criteria
- Evaluate your options against criteria

A.	Error prevention training	F.	Rewrite procedures for clarity
B.	Purchase new equipment A	G.	Implement bar-coding
C.	Purchase new equipment B	Н.	Cellularize operation 1
D.	Refurbish existing equipment C	I.	Cellularize operation 2
E.	Refurbish existing equipment D		

Evaluate criteria against each other.

For Criterion:		Opt	ion	beir	ng c	omp	are	d to		Row	\neg
c. High Money Saving Potential	Error Proofing	New Equipment A	New Equipment B	New Equipment C	New Equipment D	New Procedure	Barcoding	Cellularize Option 1	Cellularize Option 2	Total	%
Option	a.	b.	C.	d.	0.	f.	g.	h.			
a. Error Proofing		5	1	5	10	1	0.2	5	1	28.2	16.7%
b. New Equipment A	0.2		0.2	- 1	1	0.2	0.1	0.2	1	3.9	2.3%
c. New Equipment B	1	5	-	5	5	- 5	0.2	5	1	27.2	16.2%
d. New Equipment C	0.2	1	0.2		0.2	0.2	0.1	1	0.2	3.1	1.8%
e. New Equipment D	0.1	1	0.2	5		1	0.1	5	0.2	12.6	7.5%
f. New Procedures	. 1 .	5	0.2	5	1		_1_	_ 1	1	15.2	9.0%
g. Barcoding	5	10	- 5	10	10	1		5	- 5	51	30.3%
h. Cellularize Option 1	0.2	5	0.2	1	0.2	1	0.2		0.2	. 8	4.8%
i. Cellularize Option 2	1	1	1	5	5	1	0.2	5		19.2	11.4%
Column Total	8.7	33	8	37	32.4	10.4	2.1	27.2	9.6	168.4	100.0%

Compare possible choices for remaining criteria

			Criteria			Row	
	C. High potential savings	d. Highpotential speed	e. High decrease of defects	f. Customer satisfaction	l. Quick Results	Total	%
Options	Weight .303 X	Weight .114 X	Weight .167 X	Weight .162 X	Weight .090 X		
a. Error Proofing	.167 = .051	.111 = .013	.074 = .012	.169 = .027	.167= .018	0.121	14.4%
b. New Equipment A	.023 = .007	.131 = .015	.060 = .010	.041 = .007	.028= .003	0.042	5.0%
c. New Equipment B	.162 = .049	.091 = .010	.089 = .015	.153 = .025	.096=.009	0.108	12.9%
d. New Equipment C	.018 = .005	.223 = .025	.087 = .015	.113 = .018	.079=.007	0.070	8.4%
e. New Equipment D	.075 = .023	.184 = .021	.173 = .029	.274 = .044	.224=.020	0.137	16.3%
f. New Procedures	.090 = .027	.029=.003	.214 = .036	.029 = .005	.091 = .008	0.079	9.4%
g. Barcoding	.303 = .092	.037 = .004	.101 = .017	.147 = .024	.063=.006	0.143	17.1%
h. Cellularize Option 1	.048 = .015	.104 = .012	.093 = .016	.044 = .007	.175= .016	0.066	7.9%
i. Cellularize Option 2	.114 = .035	.090 = .010	.109 = .018	.030 = .005	.047=.004	0.072	8.6%
Column Total	0.304	0.113	0.168	0.162	0.091	0.838	100.0%

Bring it all together

			Criteria			Row	
	c. High potential savings	d. Highpotential speed	e. High decrease of defects	f. Customer satisfaction	l. Ouick Results	Total	%
Options	Weight .303 X	Weight .114 X	Weight .167 X	Weight .162 X	Weight .090 X		
a. Error Proofing	.167 = .051	.111 = .013	.074 = .012	.169 = .027	.167= .018	0.121	14.4%
b. New Equipment A	.023 = .007	.131 = .015	.060 = .010	.041 = .007	.028= .003	0.042	5.0%
c. New Equipment B	.162 = .049	.091 = .010	.089 = .015	.153 = .025	.096= .009	0.108	12.9%
d. New Equipment C	.018 = .005	.223=.025	.087 = .015	.113 = .018	.079 = .007	0.070	8.4%
e. New Equipment D	.075 = .023	.184=.021	.173 = .029	.274 = .044	.224 = .020	0.137	16.3%
f. New Procedures	.090 = .027	.029=.003	.214 = .036	.029 = .005	.091 = .008	0.079	9.4%
g. Barcoding	.303 = .092	.037 = .004	.101 = .017	.147 = .024	.063 = .006	0.143	17.1%
g. Barcoding h. Cellularize Option 1	.048 = .015	.104=.012	.093 = .016	.044 = .007	.175= .016	0.066	7.9%
i. Cellularize Option 2	.114 = .035	.090 = .010	.109 = .018	.030 = .005	.047= .004	0.072	8.6%
Column Total	0.304	0.113	0.168	0.162	0.091	0.838	100.0%

Now Select the best options

<u>Description</u>: Now that you have funneled all your potential change opportunities down to the one you are going to work on, where do you go from here? In order to be successful, complete in a "rapid" time frame and provide the leadership to accomplish these things you will need to know how to do this, what tools to use and have some examples and/or assistance from subject matter experts (SME's). This section about defining success and making it time bound is the most important single thing to do right in your whole project plan. Do not underestimate the importance or the amount of time it takes to do this right. Do it right and you will look like a star at the end. Exceed expectations! Do it wrong and you will fail. At the end of this section you will have an operational definition of success, a preliminary CTQ Tree and time boundaries around accomplishment of goals.

How To:

- First Define Success with an operational definition, must be measurable
- Second Make Success time bound Hint: Give yourself some room to "play" in your written documentation of what Success would look like. Better to under promise and over deliver.
- Conduct VOC (voice of the customer) interviews and tabulate the data
- Take time to directly observe and take notes of the current process
- Create a CTQ Tree
- Sort into primary and secondary CTQ's (Critical to quality needs/drivers/where the pain is)
- Complete your CTQ Tree, as much as you can, which now has some measurements, goals and time boundaries around each Secondary CTQ that is impacting your one Primary CTQ
- Your **Primary CTQ should be a description of the one change** that you have funneled down to, e.g. Reduce Defects
- Your **Secondary CTQ's should be the drivers** that are producing Defects, e.g. one might be too many QNS chemistry tubes. The metric for this Secondary CTQ could be Range of % QNS Chem Tubes in one work week. The goal could be reduce my % QNS chemistry tubes from a weekly range of 28 -31% down to at least 15-18%. The time boundary for the goal could be within the next 30 days.
- Do this for all CTQ's and get buy off from your customer.

"CTQ's are to Value as Westgard Rules are to QC", J. Ellis 2014

How To:

First - Define Success with an operational definition, must be measurable

Second - Make Success time bound - Hint: Give yourself some room to "play" in your written documentation of what Success would look like. Better to under promise and over deliver.

<u>Reduce defects in the core lab - Change Management Project selected from Thinking, Seeing and Prioritizing</u>

Operational Definition: Reduce defects in my top 3 defect categories

Time frame: 1 month

Measure of Success: Greater than 30% reduction in each category

"CTQ's are to Value as Westgard Rules are to QC", J. Ellis 2014

How To:

Conduct VOC (voice of the customer) interviews and tabulate the data

Take time to **directly observe** and take notes of the current process

<u>Conduct "Customer" Interviews:</u> Setup 20 minute interviews with representative lab staff from all shifts and external lab customers to flush out types of defects and relative importance of these defects from each of their perspectives. Get this done in 5 days or less.

<u>Sort, analyze interview data:</u> List types of defects in order of severity from the collective input from the interviews.

<u>Direct Observation of Current Process</u>: Go directly observe the process at representative times throughout a complete production cycle (usually 24 hrs.) as you are conducting interviews and take notes of what types of defects you see and rank them in severity. This is not a deep dive into collecting data that will be done during the Measure phase of your project.

"CTQ's are to Value as Westgard Rules are to QC", J. Ellis 2014

How To:

Create a CTQ Tree

Sort into primary and secondary CTQ's (Critical to quality needs/drivers/where the pain is)

Complete your CTQ Tree, as much as you can, which now has some measurements, goals and time boundaries around each Secondary CTQ that is impacting your one Primary CTQ.

Your **Primary CTQ should be a description of the one change** that you have funneled down to, e.g. Reduce Defects

Your **Secondary CTQ's should be the drivers** that are producing Defects, e.g. one might be – too many QNS chemistry tubes. The metric for this Secondary CTQ could be Range of % QNS Chem Tubes in one work week. The goal could be - reduce my % QNS chemistry tubes from a weekly range of 28 -31% down to at least 15-18%. The time boundary for the goal could be within the next 30 days.

"CTQ's are to Value as Westgard Rules are to QC", J. Ellis 2014

Lab example of how a need (Primary CTQ) transforms into one Secondary CTQ.

This example looks at one area of need – Connection to Automation

(scope is Chem/IA pre, analytical & post):

Need
(Primary CTQ)

Pain
(Secondary CTQ)

<u>Metric</u>

<u>Goal</u>

Time Frame

(to Achieve Goal)

I have too
many manual
steps in my
Chem/IA
process from
pre thru post
analytical. I
need to be
more
connected
thru

My Chem/IA process has too many manual steps

number of manual steps and the number of automated steps in the Chem/IA process from pre thru post analytical. Also calculate the % of manual & automated steps to the total number of process steps.

Count & record the

>38% reduction in number of manual steps

6 months post automation Go-Live

"CTQ's are to Value as Westgard Rules are to QC", J. Ellis 2014


Property of Lab Quality Confab, Copyright Protected For more information contact:

automation.

J. Ellis at jellisaiken@gmail.com and/or

Lab example of how a need (Primary CTQ) transforms into multiple Secondary CTQ's.

This example looks at one area of need – Financial/Cost (scope is Chem/IA pre, analytical & post):



More Examples of Primary CTQ Needs & Categories of Needs:

Quality Defects

Quality Customer Satisfaction Scores

Quality Rework

Quality Accuracy of Result/Diagnosis

Quality Employee Satisfaction

Productivity Footprint square footage

Productivity Reported Results/Paid Labor Hr.

Financial/Cost Output/Cost

Financial/Cost Labor Consumed

Service MTBF

Service Back Orders

• Service Shipment Errors

Service Down time for maintenance

Safety Noise

Safety Lost time due to accidents

Reduce Core Lab Defects Example: Time Frame Need Metric Goal Pain (to Achieve Goal) (Primary CTQ) (Secondary CTQ) # & % QNS tubes/rep 24 >30% reduction 1 Month from start of project **Too Many QNS Tubes** hrs >30% reduction Stat Trop. I TAT too Stat Trop. I – mean, time to reach 1 Month from start of 90%, std dev, per rep 24 hrs all metrics long & variable project Too many missed # & % of missed scanned tubes >30% reduction 1 Month from start of project 3 per rep 24 hrs scanned tubes # & % of missed HS assessions Too many missed >30% reduction 1 Month from start of project I need to per rep 24 hrs LIS assessions Reduce Too many #&% Add-on's per rep 24 hrs >30% reduction 1 Month from start of project Defects in Add-on's

At this stage you don't yet know which Secondary CTQ's are the Top 3.

#&% Repeats/rep 24 hrs

"CTQ's are to Value as Westgard Rules are to QC", J. Ellis 2014

>30% reduction

Property of Lab Quality Confab, Copyright Protected For more information contact:

Too many repeats

my Core Lab

1 Month from start of

project

J. Ellis at iellisaiken@gmail.com and/or

How To

Do this for all CTQ's and get buy off from your customers


<u>Customer Sign Off:</u> This CTQ document is the most important document of your project! It is a living document that you will rev as you move through the steps of your project. You now know what Success is, how to measure it, how much time you have to achieve it and what value it will bring to your customers when completed successfully. Keep it visible and updated! Use it in your progress communications.

"CTQ's are to Value as Westgard Rules are to QC", J. Ellis 2014

CTQ Exercise

"CTQ's are to Value as Westgard Rules are to QC", J. Ellis 2014

CTQ Exercise



Property of Lab Quality Confab, Copyright Protected For more information contact:

J. Ellis at jellisaiken@gmail.com and/or L. Serrano at Lserrano1944@gmail.com

Tool/s: Voice of the Customer (VOC) Interviews

Critical to Quality (CTQ) Tree

Reference/s: The Six Sigma Memory Jogger - M. Brassard, et. al., 2002

Statement/s to remember: "Garbage in, Garbage out" – author Unknown

"CTQ's are to Value as Westgard Rules are to QC" – J. Ellis 2014

PICKING YOUR TEAM

LEO SERRANO

KEY CRITERIA

- Form a powerful coalition
 - They will support your change effort
- Get a good mix in your team
 - Check titles at the door
- Create the Vision within the team
 - Help them articulate the vision
 - Identify the top priorities to accomplish the mission.
- Communicate the vision to all around you.
 - Develop a 90 second elevator speech.

LEAD BY EXAMPLE

What to look for


- To achieve High Performance a team needs diversity in the orientation of its individual team members:
 - Some team members will be needed who are primarily oriented towards task and target date accomplishment.
 - Other team members will be needed who hold process, planning, organization and methods in the highest regard.
 - Teams also need members who nurture, encourage and provide communication nodes. Otherwise, anarchy and intense frustration can result, as individuals demand that "their way" is "the only way."
 - Teams will certainly need some members who are creative and innovative. This quality is helpful when product design, inspiration, optimism or humor is needed.
 - The final type of team member needed by a High Performance
 Team is a floater-someone who is capable of identifying with all of
 the above orientations and can fill in when one of the viewpoints is
 missing.

The Process Excellence team at Avera McKennan is a good example of a diverse team.


- 1. There was a member from the chemistry area who while very bright and technically capable and organized was seen as quiet and bland. Too laid back to be a leader (became the de-facto leader).
- 2. Another member was young, aggressive, open to new ideas and working on an off shift. (creative, the designer)
- 3. A third member was calm, detail oriented, analytical, well spoken but not overly aggressive. Working on an off shift, this person consistently looked for ways to reduce waste. (the float)
- 4. A fourth member worked in Client Services very bright, nurturing, organized, detail and customer oriented. (the communicator)
- 5. The fifth member had been out of the working lab for many years- very IT oriented and nurturing. (a mother figure)

Most importantly they worked as a team.

Picking Your Team



New Core Layout




<u>Description</u>: Since you have now time bound your change project when you defined Success, you better be sure that you have a documented, detailed plan to complete your project in the time period you bound it to. This plan should be easy to follow and detail each step, each Milestone, who is accountable and what is accomplished. The plan should have some flexibility built in but be rigid enough to meet or exceed your goals for completing the plan on or before the deadline. The plan needs to have approval from your Stakeholders and those affected by the change. Your core change team should have input into creating the plan and approving the final version. Your plan should be very visible and displayed in the area affected by the change. You should conduct weekly meetings to provide updates and any changes to the plan. At the end of this section then you will be ready to execute.

How To:


- Start out simple with a one change plan that can be completed in 30 days or less
- Use a simple one page Excel spread sheet
- Follow the 5 step model of DMAIC
- Color code the 5 steps
- Break it down into days of the month
- Color code activities that are not completed and then change the color when completed
- Show who is accountable for each activity/action
- Have the plan mounted on a poster board from Kinko's that you can display in your office, meetings, the area impacted, etc.
- Conduct weekly updates and progress meetings
- Seek professional project management expertise as you take on more complex projects
- Take a course in project management
- Learn how to make and use Gantt charts
- Have someone on your team become project management certified


"Make your Plan, Work your Plan" – P. Quattrini, 1975




Property of Lab Quality Confab, Copyright Protected For more information contact:

J. Ellis at jellisaiken@gmail.com and/or
L. Serrano at Lserrano1944@gmail.com







Property of Lab Quality Confab, Copyright Protected For more information contact:



For your 1st few projects you will need to spend some time getting ready. Steps 1 -6 are things you do in the Pre-Execute days (P-days), 22 P days in this 1 month example.



Remember to change color code for tasks from yellow to green when completed. Plan your days appropriately when you have more than one task happening on a particular day.



If you have not used DMAIC tools before in project execution, best to bring in an SME who has had experience, not just book trained!

Consider your suppliers as a potential resource pool.

Things can get a little hectic at presentation time. Plan, Plan, Plan! Start preparing at least a week before your project is due to finish. SME's can really help you out here too.

"A" Days are after your project officially ends. In this example, 5 days.

Property of Lab Quality Confab, Copyright Protected For more information contact:

J. Ellis at jellisaiken@gmail.com and/or

L. Serrano at Lserrano1944@gmail.com

How To:

- Start out simple with a one change plan that can be completed in 30 days or less
- Use a simple one page Excel spread sheet
- Follow the 5 step model of DMAIC
- Color code the 5 steps
- Break it down into days of the month
- Color code activities that are not completed and then change the color when completed
- Show who is accountable for each activity/action
- Have the plan mounted on a poster board from Kinko's that you can display in your office, meetings, the area impacted, etc.
- Conduct weekly updates and progress meetings
- Seek professional project management expertise as you take on more complex projects
- Take a course in project management
- Learn how to make and use Gantt charts
- Have someone on your team become project management certified
 "Make your Plan, Work your Plan" P. Quattrini, 1975

Tool/s: Gantt Chart

Excel Spreadsheet DMAIC roadmap

Kinko's

Reference/s: Project Management for Dummies – S. Portny, 2013

Google Search for images of Gantt Charts

The Six Sigma Memory Jogger - M. Brassard, et. al., 2002

<u>Statement/s to remember:</u> "Make your Plan, Work your Plan" – P. Quattrini, 1975

Send me an email and I will send you the Excel file of the Gantt Chart. jellisaiken@gmail.com

Learning to EXECUTE

Jim Ellis and Leo Serrano

7. Learning to "Execute" your plan

Description: At this point in time you have "Learned to Think", "Learned to See", Prioritized your Opportunities to one project, Defined Success & Created a preliminary CTQ Tree, Picked your Team & Stakeholders and drawn up a first pass at a Gantt time line of your project. Now you are ready to begin working your plan (executing). The execution of your plan continues to follow the DMAIC roadmap that you have illustrated in your Gantt Chart. This section begins at the Measure Phase and concludes at the end of the Control Phase. The slides provided in this section illustrate some of the type of output you can expect from execution of a change project. Other examples will be found in the slides from the final presentation section. For this section we will use the 1 month project example shown in the Gantt your project time line section. Until you become proficient at the skill of project execution the **best way to learn and** accelerate your project is to get some expert help in this stage of your project. Again, if you start with short, less complex projects you can learn more quickly and then move to longer, more complex projects. Learn from an expert if you can so that you do it the "right" way from the beginning. At the end of this section you should have all the data you need to create a final presentation of your project.

How To:

- You need to be the "air traffic controller" and always stay at least one week ahead of the current week.
- Recap each week on Friday and set the stage for the following week. Communicate
 your recap and next week's plan in formal documentation.
- Foresee anything that could alter or change a date by staying in constant communication with all those doing the work.
- IT is always a wild card plan accordingly.
- Anticipate needed supplies and order with plenty of lead time.
- If you have renovations to do be sure you work closely with operations/facilities to avoid delays.
- For longer and more complex projects, set aside a "war room" (lockable) so that you
 have a secure, quiet place to work on your project, meet with your team and display you
 project plan, progress and results.
- Hold those assigned accountable for hitting deadlines customers included, nobody gets a free pass.
- Meet with your team on Monday AM to kick off the week and on Friday AM-PM to recap.

- Keep your Stakeholders informed weekly of the progress.
- No excuses, no slackers!
- Plan to beat your plan's deadline by a few days
- Don't underestimate how difficult and time consuming a pilot and implementation can be.
- Implementation should be a **gradual hand-off and transition** to be sure the folks you need to "Teach how to fish" are proficient before you and your team move on.
- Consider outside help while you are learning these skills, e.g. outside consultants, outside your department, your suppliers, etc.
- Create lots of visual displays, scorecards, an audit process, SOP's, andons, mistake proofing, 5'S cleanup days, have fun, contests, prizes, visibility, communication!
- There is no substitute for direct observation, computer printouts and reports are next best, word of mouth is last resort.
- If production runs over 24 hrs., be sure you observe a few representative 24 hr production turns.

If you have not used DMAIC tools & not followed a DMAIC pathway before in project execution, best to bring in an SME who has had experience, not just book trained! Consider your suppliers as a potential resource pool or outside consultants.




DMAIIC - Measure (its all about the Current State - is it Capable?)

- Value Stream
- Process Map
- Data Collection Plan how much is enough?
- Is Current State in Control? Control Charts
- Is Current State Capable? Specs (VOC) vs. Control Limits
- Funneling the trivial many X's FMEA

7. Learning to "Execute" your plan Croating the Current State Value St



Creating the Current-State Value Stream Map



Ref "Learning to See" Rother & Shook



Measure: Data Collection Plan Features


Data	Col	lection	Plan
			·

the data.

Project

What questions do you want to answer?

Being clear about your question will help you make sure you collect the right data.



Property of Lab Quality Confab, Copyright Proto For more information contact: J. Ellis at iellisaiken@gmail.com_and/or

J. Ellis at <u>jellisaiken@gmail.com</u> and/or L. Serrano at Lserrano1944@gmail.com

MEASURE

One of 6 Secondary CTQ's you identified from the Define Phase (VOC) – do this for all 6.


Example: One Secondary CTQ tracking along DMAIC pathway of execution:

<u>Define</u>	<u>Define</u>	<u>Define</u>	<u>Define</u>	<u>Measure</u>	<u>Improve</u>	<u>Improve</u>	<u>Improve</u>	Control	<u>Control</u>
Primary	Secondary				Predicted				
СТQ	СТQ			Current	Future	Pilot	Results at	6 Months	12 Months
(need)	(pain)	Metric	<u>Goal</u>	<u>State</u>	<u>State</u>	Results	Go-Live	Go-Live	Go-Live
Reduce Defects in my core Lab	Too many QNS samples (one of 6 Secondary CTQ's)	# & % QNS general chemistry samples per representative 24 hrs.	>30% Reduction	28-31%					

"CTQ's are to Value as Westgard Rules are to QC", J. Ellis 2014


ANALYZE

Measure: Control Chart – Current State VOP





Innovative Improvement: Current Value Stream Map with Kaizen Bursts indicating opportunity areas for improvement from Brainstorming activities



Ref "Learning to See" Rother & Shook

IMPROVE

7. Learning to "Execute" your plan

One of 6 Secondary CTQ's you identified from the Define Phase (VOC) – do this for all 6.

•				_	•	-			
<u>Define</u>	<u>Define</u>	<u>Define</u>	<u>Define</u>	<u>Measure</u>	<u>Improve</u>	<u>Improve</u>	<u>Improve</u>	Control	<u>Control</u>
Primary	Secondary				Predicted				
сто	СТQ			Current	Future	Pilot	Results at	6 Months	12 Months
(need)	<u>(pain)</u>	<u>Metric</u>	<u>Goal</u>	<u>State</u>	<u>State</u>	<u>Results</u>	Go-Live	Go-Live	Go-Live
Reduce Defects in my core Lab	Too many QNS samples (one of 6 Secondary CTQ's)	# & % QNS general chemistry samples per representative 24 hrs.	>30% Reduction	28-31%	15-18% (worst case 36% reduction)				

IMPROVE

7. Learning to "Execute" your plan

One of 6 Secondary CTQ's you identified from the Define Phase (VOC) – do this for all 6.

•				_	•	•			
<u>Define</u>	<u>Define</u>	<u>Define</u>	<u>Define</u>	<u>Measure</u>	<u>Improve</u>	<u>Improve</u>	<u>Improve</u>	<u>Control</u>	<u>Control</u>
Primary	Secondary				Predicted				
сто	сто			Current	Future	Pilot	Results at	6 Months	12 Months
(need)	(pain)	<u>Metric</u>	<u>Goal</u>	State	<u>State</u>	Results	Go-Live	Go-Live	Go-Live
Reduce Defects in my core Lab	Too many QNS samples (one of 6 Secondary CTQ's)	# & % QNS general chemistry samples per representative 24 hrs.	>30% Reduction	28-31%	15-18% (worst case 36% reduction)	14-20% (worst case 29% reduction)			

IMPROVE

7. Learning to "Execute" your plan

One of 6 Secondary CTQ's you identified from the Define Phase (VOC) – do this for all 6.

•				_					
<u>Define</u>	<u>Define</u>	<u>Define</u>	<u>Define</u>	Measure	<u>Improve</u>	<u>Improve</u>	<u>Improve</u>	Control	Control
Primary	Secondary				Predicted				
сто	сто			Current	Future	Pilot	Results at	6 Months	12 Months
(need)	(pain)	Metric	<u>Goal</u>	State	<u>State</u>	Results	Go-Live	Go-Live	Go-Live
Reduce Defects in my core Lab	Too many QNS samples (one of 6 Secondary CTQ's)	# & % QNS general chemistry samples per representative 24 hrs.	>30% Reduction	28-31%	15-18% (worst case 36% reduction)	14-20% (worst case 29% reduction)	13-19% (worst case 32% reduction)		

CONTROL

Control: Visual Work Place Examples



Cleaning station

(Is anything missing?)



Production Control Board



Inventory pick-up/drop-off locations



Control: Visual Work Place Examples



Line of Sight – Performance Boards – Andon

CONTROL


Control: Visual Work Place Examples



Performance Boards

CONTROL

Control: The Periodic Audit (great tool for sustaining improvements)



One of 6 Secondary CTQ's you identified from the Define Phase (VOC) – do this for all 6.

•				_	•	•			
<u>Define</u>	<u>Define</u>	<u>Define</u>	<u>Define</u>	Measure	<u>Improve</u>	<u>Improve</u>	<u>Improve</u>	Control	<u>Control</u>
Primary	Secondary				Predicted				
сто	сто			Current	Future	Pilot	Results at	6 Months	12 Months
(need)	(pain)	<u>Metric</u>	<u>Goal</u>	<u>State</u>	<u>State</u>	Results	Go-Live	Go-Live	Go-Live
Reduce Defects in my core Lab	Too many QNS samples (one of 6 Secondary CTQ's)	# & % QNS general chemistry samples per representative 24 hrs.	>30% Reduction	28-31%	15-18% (worst case 36% reduction)	14-20% (worst case 29% reduction)	13-19% (worst case 32% reduction)	14-17% (worst case 39% reduction)	

CONTROL

One of 6 Secondary CTQ's you identified from the Define Phase (VOC) – do this for all 6.

Example: One Secondary CTQ tracking along DMAIC pathway of execution:

	zxampie. One occomular, or a macking arong primare parintal, or execution.										
<u>Define</u>	<u>Define</u>	<u>Define</u>	<u>Define</u>		<u>Improve</u>	<u>Improve</u>	<u>Improve</u>	Control	<u>Control</u>		
Primary	Secondary				Predicted						
сто	сто			Current	Future	Pilot	Results at	6 Months	12 Months		
(need)	<u>(pain)</u>	<u>Metric</u>	<u>Goal</u>	<u>State</u>	<u>State</u>	<u>Results</u>	Go-Live	Go-Live	Go-Live		
Reduce Defects in my core Lab	Too many QNS samples (one of 6 Secondary CTQ's)	# & % QNS general chemistry samples per representative 24 hrs.	>30% Reduction	28-31%	15-18% (worst case 36% reduction)	14-20% (worst case 29% reduction)	13-19% (worst case 32% reduction)	14-17% (worst case 39% reduction)	12-15% (worst case 47% reduction)		

"CTQ's are to Value as Westgard Rules are to QC", J. Ellis 2014

Next up: Leo's thoughts on Execute.

How To:

- Become the "air traffic controller". Keep 1 week ahead
- Recap weekly set the stage for the week to come
- Anticipate roadblocks, delays. Stay connected
- IT wild card plan for it.
- Anticipate supplies, needs, etc.
- Dedicate an area for the project
- Accountability- non negotiable item.
- Keep stake holders up to date-regularly
- Beat your plan's deadline no slackers!!

Never underestimate the difficulty!!

How to:

- Implementation should be a gradual handoff to the folks who will ultimately work.
 - "Teach them to fish proficiently" before you leave
- Use lots of visuals, score cards, 5 S cleanup days, etc
- Bring in outside help if necessary
 - Vendors, suppliers, other departments can help
- Manage by walking around GEMBA GEMBUTSU
 - Direct observation is best, computer reports, printouts,
 etc. are next best. Word of mouth is last resort.

Examples:

Implementation of a Lean Workcell in a traditional discipline-oriented laboratory:

- Three key priorities needed
 - Cross train the staff (Hematology and Chemistry)
 - Many may not have worked the area in decades
 - Develop the workcell and its processes
 - Don't be afraid to "try-storm" DMAIC/DMADV
 - Train the staff on the new processes
 - This is critical. Select key staff who have become proficient in the new process and let them become the trainers.
 - Remember processes continuously improve but must be standardized for ALL.

Tool/s: Data Collection Plan

DMADV DMAIC

Process Mapping Spaghetti Diagram

Workplace Design

<u>Reference/s:</u> The Six Sigma Memory Jogger – M. Brassard, et. al., 2002

Google Search – Impact vs. Effort matrix

The LEAN toolbox

LEAN SIGMA

Other Books to Consider:

Execution: The Discipline of Getting Things Done – L. Bossidy, et. al., 2002

Statement/s to Remember:

"Innovation distinguishes between a leader and a follower" - Steve Jobs

"Creativity before Capital" - unknown author

"Make your Plan, Work your Plan" – P. Quattrini, 1975

"In God We Trust, All Others Must Bring Data" – author unknown

"The only easy day was yesterday" – US Navy Seal motto

JOB JAR

LEO SERRANO

CREATING THE JOB JAR

- Use for items that came forth but were not part of the priority matrix or original project scope.
 - These items can be addressed after the main project is completed
 - Can use members of main team to "lead" using existing staff from the area so that they have ownership.
 - Does not detract from main project and allows for further hardwiring of the methodology of the team members as well as rank and file staff.

Examples

During Lean project of "core lab", the following areas were identified and "job jarred":

- Courier routing and arrival-
 - Affects specimen processing and routing
- Microbiology Set up and workflow
 - Setup and processing affects both central processing as well as the actual microbiology workflow
- Processing Referred Tests
 - What happens after they are separated from routine work? Time and temperature sensitive

TIPS

- Can each be tackled by a smaller team?
 - Take a member from the previous team to lead and teach
- Which has the most impact on the overall lab operation?
 - Use a prioritization matrix to determine
- Often smaller projects can proceed simultaneously when done by separate teams
 - The original team can split to lead the next projects

<u>Description</u>: At this stage of your project you have executed your plan and **fully implemented** your change. You have established control procedures to be sure you can sustain the improvement. You have key performance metrics (KPM's from your CTQ's) in place and you are monitoring them on a real-time basis with appropriate visual aids and scorecards. You have created a job jar for future change projects. You are ready to give final presentations to stakeholders, customers, those impacted by the change plus your team members. Depending on the scope and complexity of your change project, the presentations you give will range from simple to complex. In our experience if you see an example of complex and learn the components of complex then it is very easy to scale down to any level below complex. Therefore, for this workshop we will concentrate on the components of a complex, final presentation. Final refers to a time when you are realizing all the benefits from the change plan you put in place. In a complex project you will most likely **need to give presentations** multiple times, e.g. at the beginning to gain approvals and funding, at specific Milestones such as pilot results, go-live, IT connected, automation in place, one-month post full go-live, etc. Again, if we concentrate on a complex, final presentation then scaling back in time and complexity will be fairly easy.

This module is best assimilated by taking quiet time and reading through it a few times. The slides in this module are your Cliff's Notes for how to assemble your final project presentation/s.

With the complex, final presentation you will probably have to deliver it multiple times to different audiences. Therefore, you will need to prepare multiple versions of it depending on your audience. Once again, if you build the most complete one with all details then you can scale it down for various audiences. Never give a full detail 1-2 hr presentation to anyone at the "O" level, e.g. COO, CEO, CFO, CMO, etc. Those that are impacted by your change will need to see the most detail and you will probably need 1-2 hrs to go over the full presentation. Stakeholders will need a presentation somewhere closer to the "O" level with slightly more detail. Directors, managers and supervisors will need somewhere between 30 and 60 minutes. Best to keep all your slides in an Appendix and/or hidden so that if you get asked a detail question you can quickly go to the appropriate slide/s. The key is to gauge the length and detail of your presentation to your audience.

Components of a complex, final presentation where you need all detail:

- Audience is a group of "Customers" impacted by the change
- Usually 1-2+ hrs. with Q&A
- Usually 50 100+ slides
- Title Slide (include a picture here if you can, e.g. institution, lab, team, change, etc.), list presentation date and presenters
- Agenda with bullets and names of presenters for each section if multiple presenters
- "Ho-Hum Crasher" (ending of your story) "We/You have the opportunity over the next X time to double our output with 40% less input by doing ______ or investing in _____." In the next _____ minutes I will show you how we are or how we did do this.

Versions of this phrase above should be used at the beginning of your presentation for all presentations at all levels and at all times in your project life cycle from beginning to end. This is the "beef" and this is "What's in it for THEM". For an "O" presentation you can eliminate the agenda slide and just have your title slide say "Executive Summary of _____ Change Project". Complete Executive Summary should only be 5-6 slides max. You pick what slides from your full detail presentation to use to support your "Ho-Hum Crasher".

Ho-Hum Crasher – Exercise Close your books.

Create a Ho-Hum Crasher around Defect example: Reference slide #83. You just went Live and have results and now have your presentation to your Stakeholders.

What was the one I used on you today? (way back at intro)

Write one down and pass to your neighbor.

Don't turn to next slide yet.

Ho-Hum Crasher - Exercise

Ho-Hum Crasher:

Our 1st Successful, Rapid Change Management Project in the Core Lab Reduced our Top 3 Lab Defect Categories by Over 30%!

We completed the execution of the project in slightly less than one month. Let us show you how we did it!

- **Description of the problem** (excerpts from a project Charter doc can be used here)
- **Summary of VOC Interviews** highlight your Voice of the Customer findings, especially those that refer to the pain associated with your Primary CTQ. Also, show comments that call out the Secondary CTQ's you chose.
- CTQ Tree Very important Slide! Summarizes what data you will collect for Current & Future State, what your key performance metrics will be to measure Success, what your success goals are compared to the Current State, and states your time boundary for the project. Remember "CTQ's are to Value as Westgard Rules are to QC".
- Review of Data Collection Plan for Current State Measurements focus on Secondary CTQ's, use a team agreed to formal data collection plan.
- Summary of Current State measurements expressed in metrics of your Secondary CTQ's
- Summary of Analysis of the Current State measurements is the Current State sustainable? Does it meet Customer specs 100% of the time? Does it have too much variability? Does the Voice of the Process (VOP) match up to the VOC? This analysis will indicate areas where the Current State can be improved. Be sure this is obvious in your presentation.

• Summary of the Change Improvement – how did you arrive at what and how to improve the Current State? The analysis of the Current State in objective terms is how you arrive at what to improve. Each Secondary CTQ should have a plan in place to improve it for this stage. Talk about how you arrived at your improvement plan. How you piloted the improvement plan. What results you got from the pilot. What the improvement looked like compared to the Current State. How you created your full Implementation Plan and what it looks like. How did you implement? What were the results in terms of key performance metrics once implemented? Show actual results of implemented key performance metrics vs. those that came from the Current State measurements. Show that you met or exceeded the goals set from your Secondary CTQ Tree. This stage is data rich and could have 50+ slides in a full detail presentation.

At the end of the tech detail you are now going to review the Financial Business Case and show analysis of costs vs. benefits across a predetermined time frame with predetermined time intervals. This is where the **Profit Improvement Proposal or PIP** is presented. If this is a final presentation the PIP, if it was deemed appropriate to use, will be presented in final rev. If the PIP is to be used, which you will decide early on with your team, then at this stage in final presentation your audience will have seen it before many times and seen how it evolved from an example to a predicted form and now in its final rev. We strongly suggest when you decide to use this tool, which can be extremely powerful, that you get some expert advice and training before presenting even in example form. By this time you will have learned how your institution evaluates investments, what the criteria is for investment analysis and what is pass/fail for each criteria. You will have gotten all your costs identified and quantified the impact of your change (the benefits). Next you will run the cost vs. benefit analysis against all appropriate investment analysis criteria and produced the results. These results will be displayed in most likely an Excel spreadsheet which you will have made into a slide and a poster board. Use these for your presentation at this point in the presentation. Be sure it is all good news before you present.

Example of Very Simple Financial Justification: (no complex PIP required)

Simple PayBack on Capital Purchase

Total Capital Expense

\$955K

 Labor Enhancement Benefit – 4.5 FTE's (assumes \$60K/FTE) \$270K/yr.

Simple PayBack Time

3.5 Yrs.

	Exam	Example of Very Complete & Complex PIP										
Example: Level 1 Financial Justification				_								
Decised Phase	D.II.A.	, r ,		D II A	о г II				DUACE III			
Project Phase	PHAS		0042	P H A	S E II	0044	0045	0045	PHASE III	0046	0047	
Year	2012	2012	2013	2013	2014	2014	2015	2015	2016	2016	2017	
Cost Analysis (Incremental)		_	_			_	_		_			
Six Month Periods	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	Total Cost
Chem analyzer rental (1)	66,180	66,180	66,180	,	66,180	,	,	66,180	,	,	66,180	7
Lab Automation (2)	0	100,010	186,010		255,333	292,041	292,041	292,041	292,041	292,041	292,041	
One Time Charges (3)	157,500	157,500	75,000	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
- Renovation Costs	0	,	0		150,000	0	0	0		0	9	
- LIS 5.23 upgrade	0	120,000	0	_	0	0	0	0	·			
Total Incremental cost	\$ 223,680	\$ 539,690	\$ 327,190	\$ 297,190	\$ 471,513	\$ 358,221	\$ 358,221 \$	358,221	\$ 358,221	\$ 358,221	\$ 358,221	\$ 4,008,593
											/_	
											/	
Benefit Analysis (Incremental)												
Six Month Periods	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	/ 11	Total Benefit
Site A Labor Savings (4)*	0	0	118,745	118,745	122,308	122,308	125,977	125,977	129,756	129,756	133,649	1,127,220
Site B Labor Savings (4)*	0	60,000	179,993	179,993	185,393	185,393	190,954	190,954	196,683	196,683	202,583	1,768,629
Other Facilities Labor Savings (4)*	0	0	39,998	39,998	41,198	41,198	42,434	42,434	43,707	43,707	39,988	374,675
Service (5)	15,422	30,843	30,843	30,843	30,843	30,843	30,843	30,843	30,843	30,843	32,843	3,270,525
Reagents/Consumables (1)	63,064	63,064	63,064	63 064	63,064	63,064	63,064	63,064	63,064	63,064	63,064	
Shipping (6)	800	800	800	800	800	800	800	800	800	800	800	
Asset Reduction (7)	0	23,700	23,700	23,700	23,700	23,700	23,700	23,700	23,700	23,700	23,700	
Non-Salary Expense Reduction [3-13%] (8)	0	112,500	225,690	225,000	225,000	225,000	225,000	225,000	225,000	225,000	225,000	
Productivity & Capacity Improvements	\$ 1.00				•							
Operational Lease Cost Write-Offs	\$ 1.00											
Total Benefit	\$ 79,288	\$ 290,907	\$ 682,144	\$ 682,144	\$ 692,306	\$ 692,306	\$ 702,773 \$	702,773	\$ 713,554	\$ 713,554	\$ 719,638	\$ 6,671,383
Net Contribution	\$ (144,392)	\$ (248,783)	\$ 354,953	\$ 384,953	\$ 220,792	\$ 334,084	\$ 344,551 \$	344,551	\$ 357,332	\$ 355,332	\$ 361,416	\$ 2,662,790
Cumulative Net Contribution	\$ (144 392)	\$ (393,176)	\$ (38,223)	\$ 346,730	\$ 567,523	\$ 901,607	\$ 1,246,158	5 1,590,709	\$ 1,946,041	\$ 2,301,373	\$ 2,662,790	
NPV @ 12%	\$1,690,261											1
NPV @ 15%	\$1,516,380					Key	Financ	ial Inv	estma	ent Crit	eria	
						itey	Tillanc	idi iiii	CSCITIC	CITE CITE	CTIA	
IRR ←	175%											
			*Profit Improvement	ent Proposal or PIP are	registered Trade	Marks of Mack Ha	anan author of Consulta	ative Selling				
PAYBACK (mos.)	14		*This Proposal is	good for 60 days from	date on Proposal							

The analysis of "Before & After" metrics will provide accurate information to generate a true cost to benefit report to show the customer how financially sound their decision was.

When you are doing presentations before you have done a pilot of the improved Future State then this whole section would be made up of Predicted Future State outcomes around the key performance metrics. Also you would predict what the future state would look like based on the improvements you want to make. After full Implementation you would go back and compare what you predicted to the actual results. When you get good at this you want to set it up so that you always exceed expectations of the actual deliverables compared to your predicted deliverables.

9. Presentations to Stakeholders, Customers, & Team

- Sustaining the Gain Summary of the Control phase of your project where you have now incorporated this change into a standard operating procedure and it is now the new Current State. How did you train the folks who are impacted in the new process? What kind of Mistake Proofing did you put in place? What key performance metrics are you monitoring to insure the performance gain is being sustained? If a metric goes out of "control" what SOP/s do you have in place to get it back in "control"? What visual displays and scorecards did you setup to monitor the key performance metrics? What type of behavioral motivation plan did you put in place to incentivize those that are most impacted by the change?
- Review your agenda tell them what you told them, put up the "Ho-Hum Crasher Slide" as a reminder of what the end of the story was
- Next Steps Review the Job Jar and indicate what you propose to do next and ask for support and input from your audience
- **Q&A and Close** Watch your time, limit questions based on the time you have. Have a flip chart for questions that you will answer off-line. Thank them all for attending.

9. Presentations to Stakeholders, Customers, & Team

How To: Hints: Your presentation should always tell a story! Give the ending (the "Ho-Hum" Crasher!) away in the first couple of slides and then build the rest of the story around how you got to the ending. Tell them what you are going to tell them, tell them, then tell them what you said you were going to tell them. Don't throw out a bunch of "rubber chickens", be sure each feature has a benefit. Don't forget to "show them the beef". What's in it for THEM! Make multiple copies of your agenda slide and as you go through the presentation put an agenda slide before each agenda section and highlight it so your audience can see where you are in the overall story. This allows you to create smooth segues and keeps your audience flowing with the logic path you setup in the first agenda slide. Your agenda bullets should follow a DMAIC roadmap. Only call it that if you choose to. Get training in how to create and deliver effective presentations. Look at examples of good and bad presentations that have been presented to your various audiences. And, consider bringing in outside subject matter experts to help you get up the learning curve and accelerate your project. Remember, you will have a diverse group of "thinkers" at each presentation. Review the "Art of Thinking" styles and be prepared! If there is any way to predict key attendees' thinking styles ahead of time it will be in your best interest.

Be prepared before each presentation to decide how and who will get copies of what you present. Your presentations will become intellectual capital and proprietary. Obey the rules for disseminating. Don't take it lightly. Control the value that you have created. Instead of giving it out freely, use it wisely. One trick is to setup follow up meetings with key attendees to go over the info instead of giving it to them. The PIP can be extremely valuable so plan accordingly.

At the end of this section you should be ready to take on your next project.

9. Presentations to Stakeholders, Customers, & Team

Tool/s: Project Charter

CTQ Tree

Data Collection Plan

PIP

Proficiency with Microsoft PowerPoint at least at Intermediate level

Reference/s: Consultative Selling (includes how to create a PIP) – M. Hanan, 1995

The Six Sigma Memory Jogger – M. Brassard, et. al., 2002

Other Books to Consider:

Presenting to Win – J. Weissman, 2008

<u>Statement/s to remember:</u> "To keep the idea/s alive, communicate successes often" –

author unknown


Description: By this time in your project/s you have **completed as least one project** that has helped you start up the steep learning curve to be proficient in successful, rapid change management. If you brought in some SME's to help you then you have probably accelerated your learning and have moved up further on the learning curve than if you would have done your project without the SME help. This section is presented as a "Stretch Goal" or could be considered the search and attainment of the "Holy Grail". Gathering data (numbers) and working with numbers can be play as a tremendous advantage in favor of your success and rapid time frames. The numbers start back at the beginning of your project when you begin to translate Primary CTQ's into Secondary CTQ's that have metrics associated with them. These metrics then have goals established (more numbers) along with time boundaries. You gather lots of numbers when you measure and analyze the current state. And then more numbers are added when you predict your future state, pilot the future state, implement the future state and audit the future state. That is a lot of numbers! **Getting those numbers to work for you is what** "Learning to Count" is all about. The "Holy Grail" is finding ways to easily access the numbers and being able to formulate the numbers into a powerful story that supports your change efforts and proves that your change was indeed beneficial and met or exceeded your "customers" expectations.

This module, like #9, is best assimilated by taking quiet time and reading through it a few times. The slides in this module are your Cliff's Notes for how to perfect your change management skill & impact.

I was fortunate enough a few years back to meet an author who was a lean practitioner in the fields of accounting and finance. At the time lean was just getting started in healthcare and was not that prominent in mainstream US manufacturing either. Lean in accounting? That was an unheard of thought. This author is Brian H. Maskell. He has now written 8 books on lean accounting practices. He takes a strong and valid position on getting your accounting and finance folks on your work teams and as stakeholders to truly understand the value that your **change projects can produce**. The best way to **get their attention is with numbers**, thus the importance of "Learning to Count". Without their involvement in your project, when you go to request funds for capital and/or renovations you may face major roadblocks. **Getting them** involved early in your project will help accelerate your project and pave the way to a higher **probability of obtaining project funds.** At the end of this section you should be well up the learning curve and have a few projects behind you. Once you have perfected your skills within your lab it will be time to export your skills outside the walls of your lab and teach others within your institution. The experience you gain with your lab projects can provide the means for you to lead other change projects within your institution.

Once again if you want to accelerate up the learning curve with this section, bring in some SME's. Take your metrics from your Secondary CTQ's and funnel them down to 5 or less key performance metrics (KPM's) that can act as early warning indicators for something going wrong with your new change. Agree with your team as to what level is acceptable (green), what range is marginal (yellow) and at what point it is unacceptable (red). If these KPM's cannot be monitored in a real-time, passive manner, then create a process as to who gathers the data, who posts the data, what frequency it is posted and where it is posted. Be sure the process describes the action that should be taken if any metric goes yellow and what to do if it goes red. Conduct periodic reviews with your team and stakeholders about the values of these KPM's. One of the best visual formats I have used in the past has been to display these KPM's in the form of an analog dashboard gauge.

KPM (CTQ's) Visual Dashboard Example



Ideally you would like to have these KPM's be generated passively and realtime and displayed in your lab for all to see. This is being done sporadically today but is **not mainstream yet**. The biggest **roadblock** to having this occur is **software**. If you truly believe that these KPM's which are derived from CTQ's are critical to keeping your lab running on target then you will need to make this demand known to your IT folks, your IT and middleware suppliers and your equipment suppliers. We need to create KPM monitoring software as we did with Westgard rules for QC, thus the belief we shared with you that "CTQ's are to Value as Westgard rules are to QC". The best example I have recently seen is the referenced CLN article in the July, 2014, issue pgs. 14-15, "What Does Turnaround Time Say About Your Lab?" In this issue there is a description of a passive, real-time TAT electronic monitor. This is an article worth reading. Envision all KPM's connected this way! Whether you post your KPM's manually or passive, real-time electronically, the key is to **do it**. This will give you the information to show that your change was good and is being sustained. Now parlay that success into your next change challenge!

"Easy to Collect-Easy to Manage Metrics" – S. Hood, Sept. 29, 2009, Lab Quality Confab (pdf available online by Google Search of "sandy hood lab confab")


The Best example of Monitoring & Managing KPM's (CTQ's) that I have ever seen!

Easy to Collect-Easy to Manage Metrics

Sandra Hood MT(ASCP)SBB Certified 6 Sigma Black Belt Administrative Director Riverside Methodist Hospital



"Easy to Collect-Easy to Manage Metrics" – S. Hood, Sept. 29, 2009, Lab Quality Confab (pdf available online by Google Search of "sandy hood lab confab")



Find a Way to Tell Your Story

Scorecards/Dashboards

- ➤ Compilation of data
- Used to tell a more complete story
- Can be presented to a variety of audiences
 - > Staff
 - ➤ Customers
 - ➤ Senior Leadership




CLINICAL QUALITY					CUSTOMER SERVICE					
Indicator	Tarpet	Connect	CETOOS	cree	Indicator: Press Gasey	Tanget	Carred Block	Francisco Wood Flow Scott	Lawrence	
Proficiency Testing	299.5%	97.6% (20%)	98.8% (100 200)	09.3% (168-C06)	State (oPT) Continue of person being blood	8005	99.4 (7/09)	09.4 (600b	TSP Line-Martin	
FL 6 - 3-30. 104.4 - 3-30. 100.4 - 3-0. D A - 3-00.					BANK 60 Sautesy of blood bullences	ROS	90.6 (7/98)	90.0	BEP (Jan. War TIE)	
Indicator: Mislabeled Reported by Corollabort	Target	Cursen,	Place	Rulling 12 Month	SAMO ED Concern for Contract	80h	(7/99)	98.6 (\$108)	ESP (decidents)	
MISLASSIED LAS COLLECT	0	911 (100)	G11 (709)	31/3 (MGH-2100)	Ownel Rating Alto 1 A bile 9	80th	(7/09)	(608)	DSFS90P Classification	
MINARCED MINES COLLECT	0	SITE	5315 (0700)	53977	Indicator	Target	Current Month	FISCH TTOYS	Politing 12 March	
Indicator: Mislabeled Reported by AP	Target	Control	Fiscal YTDYS	Rolling 12 Month	ED TAT: Owe Lab	290%	81.2% (FOR	21.7% (758	12.5% (MO	
ISLABBLED HPTROPOUTREACH	0	2 (1100)	2 (700)	NA	ED TAT: ED Lab	290%	HOW (700)	(700)	92 1% (Mb) 760)	
Reported by AMIL Travel Serve	Target	North	Places .	Rolling 12 Month	Oritical Care YAT	290%	10.2% (100)	50.2% (700)	90.7% (MS	
HARTANGLASELED LAB COLLECT BAPT	0	0 (700)	(70%	(1406.700)	"Out by 0730"; Inputions	≥50%	50.4% (70%	30.4% (100)	10:2% (606.70m)	
MINISTRACTURED MPT	0	0 (1100)	0 01009	2 1866-2199						
QUALI	TY OF	WORKL	FE	10.0		F	INANCIAL			
Indicator: AOS : Employer of Choice	Year 2008	2007			(miles plane	Current Month	PYTOTIS Action	PYTO'48 Budget	VYSP'SE Variance	PYTOTA VALLE Committee
RMH (Rolled up) T = 4.7	4.4	4.5			CoofTeet (w/o blood)	\$5.17 (50m)	\$5.17 (200s)	85.79	90.95	\$301,603
		7	TV.		Stood coal per Aspested Adm	105.51 (PM)	\$55.51 (mag)	\$57.83	8535	\$15,057
Indicator	Target	Garrett. Marth	THERE	Flotting 12 Woods	Indicator	Target**	Correct Marrie	PY10'96	FRALL FETS	FRAL: FYW
Turrover %	<5.67%	0.57%**	7.62%	7.62% One.orm	Overtime	-17%	1.77% (70%	1,77%	1.34%	1.82%

Property of Lab Quality Confab, Copyright Protected For more information contact:

- J. Ellis at jellisaiken@gmail.com and/or
- L. Serrano at Lserrano1944@gmail.com

The Dark Report, Vol. XXI, #13, 9/22/2014 – "Defining a Path to Clinical Lab Best-in-Class via Benchmarks", Part One of a Series.



Tool/s: Google Search of "Books written by Brian H. Maskell"

Slides showing the examples above

Reference/s: Making the Numbers Count - B. H. Maskell, 1996

Practical Lean Accounting – B. H. Maskell, 2011

"What Does Turnaround Time Say About Your Lab?", Clinical Laboratory

News (CLN), July, 2014, pgs. 14-15.

"Easy to Collect-Easy to Manage Metrics" – S. Hood, Sept. 29, 2009, Lab Quality Confab (pdf available online by Google Search of "sandy hood

lab confab")

The Dark Report, Vol. XXI, #13, 9/22/2014 – "Defining a Path to Clinical

Lab Best-in-Class via Benchmarks"

Statement/s to remember: "In God We Trust, All Others Must Bring Data" – author unknown

"CTQ's are to Value as Westgard rules are to QC" – J. Ellis, 2014

Agenda – 10 Essentials of Successful, Rapid Change Management in the Lab:

- 1. *Learning to "Think"
- 2. *Learning to "See" the Opportunities
- 3. Prioritize the Opportunities Make your Shortlist in Priority Order
- 4. Define Success for each Change (measure of Success, time frame, value
- 5. Pick your team (Stakeholders, Team Captain, Players)
- 6. Gantt your time line for the complete project (all steps of DMAIC)

LUNCH

- 7. *Learning to "Execute" your plan (detail out all steps of DMAIC)
- 8. Create a "Job Jar" of Future Changes as you go along but stay focused on current change
- 9. Presentation/s to Stakeholders, Customers, Team (possible PIP use)
- 10. *Learning to "Count"

Summary of Workshop & Closing Remarks Interactive Session with Q&A

Summary & Closing Remarks

Remember the following highlights:

- 1) **Successful** in the eyes of your customer, adding value, operational definition, measurement of success, time bound.
- 2) **Rapid** 1^{st} project 30 days or less, majority 90 days or less, max. 12 months.
- 3) Change operational definition, must add value, measurement and time bound
- 4) Management = Leadership, YOU!
- 5) Find SME's to help you accelerate
- 6) R&R (Ready & Receptive)
- 7) **5 Styles of Thinking** what are you, what is your audience/customers?
- 8) You are always selling!
- 9) I think I can, I think I can, I think I can!
- 10) 4 Stages of Competence know where you and your team are.
- 11) Core Competency or a Target for Outsource?
- 12) 5 Why's
- 13) Tools for Prioritization
- 14) Define Success Primary & Secondary CTQ's, Metrics (KPM's), Goal, Time Bound
- 15) Pick Your Team for Success


Summary & Closing Remarks

Remember the following highlights:

- 16) Gantt following DMAIC
- 17) Direct Observation is most accurate way to get real data
- 18) Make your Plan, Work your Plan
- 19) Communicate, Communicate, Communicate
- 20) Job Jar will locate your next opportunity
- 21) Ho-Hum Crasher disclose the ending first and then explain how you got the ending
- 22) Tell a story, order by DMAIC
- **23) Go after the Holy Grail** KPM's collected passively and real-time & displayed electronically color coded
- 24) Add an accountant/finance person to your team early on
- 25) CTQ's are to Value as Westgard Rules are to QC

In Summary this written tutorial and the accompanying slides should assist you in any change management project that you encounter. We have applied the principles described in this workshop to our everyday projects over many years and have found them to be extremely useful in delivering successful, rapid change management initiatives. We hope you can benefit from our experiences and not have to go through trial and error to come up with a change management process. Contact us if you need help or advice. Good luck!

At the end of today's Workshop you will know how to do this & what each line, color, section and number means as you progress through the "10 Essentials of Successful, Rapid Change Management in the Lab"



Property of Lab Quanty Comab, Copyright protected, all rights reserved)

For more information contact:

J. Ellis at jellisaiken@gmail.com and/or

Interactive Session with Q & A

Change management is the application of a structured process and set of tools for leading the people side of change to achieve a desired outcome.

When change management is done well, people feel engaged in the change process and work collectively towards a common objective, realizing benefits and delivering results. (PROSCI)