Tackling the Error Reporting Conundrum: Using Lean Thinking to Determine What Non-conformances Need to be Investigated, Reported, and Who Needs to be Involved

Anne T. Daley MS, CMQOE, CSSBB, CLC, MT, DLM President, Daley Consulting LLC



Learning Objectives

By the end of the Master Session, you will be able to:

- learn how to apply Lean thinking to determine the course of action needed in response to a nonconforming event.
- learn what critical questions need to be asked when responding to a nonconforming event.
- learn how to utilize a common Lean tool to guide a laboratory's decision response process.



Understanding the Terms

Nonconformity – nonfulfillment of a requirement (ISO 9000)

- Nonconforming event (NCE) an occurrence that does not conform:
 - to the laboratory's policies, processes, and/or procedures;
 - with applicable regulatory or accreditation requirements;
 - or has the potential to affect (or has affected) patient, donor, or employee safety.

Objective #1: How to apply Lean thinking to determine the course of action needed in response to a nonconforming event.



"Without data you're just another person with an opinion."

> - W. Edwards Deming, Data Scientist



Source: QMS11-A2, Section 2.2, Figure 3



What Happened?

Conduct an investigation to understand the sequence of events. *Tool: Process Map*



What Could Have Caused This?

Brainstorm possible causes then identify the primary "pain points." *Tool: Cause-and-Effect (C-E) Diagram*



Review process to identify process steps that could be the source of error.

Sources of Error

Tool: Process Map



Why Did This Happen?

Determine root cause(s). *Tool: 5 Whys* I. Why was radiation given to DMW?

- Because patient discharge notes stated cancer.
- 2. Why did discharge notes state cancer?
 - Because oncologist discovered cancer on patient slides in lab.
- 3. Was the slide DMW's?
 - No, the slide was another patient's.
- 4. How do you know the slide was another patient's?
 - Because DMW's pathology report stated tissue was benign, consistent with frozen section preliminary results (slide was reviewed, confirmed diagnosis).

5. Why was the oncologist given the wrong slide?

• Because the number "8" looked like the number "3" to the histotech. Only one critical patient identifier was on the slide.

Determine what needs to change. *Tools: Brainstorming, Affinity Diagram, Decision X-Y Matrix*

XY Decision Matrix - Prioritizing Solutions														
Desired Criteria / Expectations:		Corrects Root Cause		Minimizes Risk / Potential to Reoccur		Can Be Done Within 30 Days		Uses Minimal Amount of Resources		Involves Training of Staff		Solution Can Be Applied to Other Processes		TOTAL
Criteria Weight (Low=1, Med=3, High=9)		9 9		3		3		1		1				
		Score	Wtd. Score	Score	Wtd. Score	Score	Wtd. Score	Score	Wtd. Score	Score	Wtd. Score	Score	Wtd. Score	
Potential Solution	Comments													
Obtain slide label printer	Eliminates manual writing on slides, easier to read.	9	81	9	81	5	15	5	15	9	9	9	9	210
Train staff to write more legibly on slides	Utilize NCE as a training case study to emphasize importance of writing clearly on slides	1	9	1	9	9	27	5	15	9	9	9	9	78
Add patient name to slide	Add additional patient identifier to slide	3	27	3	27	9	27	9	27	9	9	9	9	126

Understanding the Difference in Actions

- **Corrective action:** Action to eliminate the cause of a <u>detected nonconformity</u> or other undesirable situation. Corrective action is taken to prevent recurrence.
 - Immediate action: Act or deed performed without hesitation upon recognition or awareness of an NCE Note: The action should be documented.
- **Preventive action:** Action to eliminate the cause of a <u>potential nonconformity</u> or any other undesirable potential situation.

Corrective Action to Eliminate Root Cause

Root Cause Analysis (RCA) is the process for identifying the basic or causal factor(s) that underlies variation in performance, including the occurrence or possible occurrence of a nonconforming event

RCA is usually done for the following reasons:

- The hazard or harm associated with the NCE is of a moderate to high risk or severity.
- The NCE has a moderate to high probability of occurrence.
- Aggregate data have reached or exceeded acceptable threshold criteria for the NCE type (trend analysis).

Determining Single Event Course of Action

	Severity									
Probability	Critical	Important	Minor	Negligible						
Continually	Corrective action to eliminate root cause	Corrective action to eliminate root cause	Corrective action to eliminate root cause	Correction of immediate problem						
Frequently	Corrective action to eliminate root cause	Corrective action to eliminate root cause	Correction of immediate problem	Correction of immediate problem						
Occasionally	Corrective action to eliminate root cause	Correction of immediate problem	No action necessary	No action necessary						
Rarely	Correction of immediate problem	Correction of immediate problem	No action necessary	No action necessary						

Source: QMS11-A2, Section 2.2.5, Table 7

RCA focuses primarily on systems and processes, not individual performance.



Ref.					Vikof									
No	Action Steps	Task Leader(s)	Comments	Mar 4/7 4/14		4/21	4/28	5/5	5/12	5/19	5/26	6/2	Later	
	List Category #1													
	List Action Step	List Leader Name	Add notes or provide update on progress		Done									
	List Action Step	List Leader Name	Add notes or provide update on progress											
	List Action Step	List Leader Name	Add notes or provide update on progress					Delay						
	List Category #2	1												
	List Action Step													
	List Action Step													
	List Action Step													
	List Action Step													
			Planned Activity On Schedule / Activity Completed Off Schedule - Should Not Impact Timeline Off Schedule, Will Impact Overall Project Timeline											

Assessing Effectiveness

Assess effectiveness of changesperformance metrics. *Tools: Audits, Run Charts, Pareto Charts*



Outcome: Reduced Outreach Imaging Center Patient Wait Time

Most improvement actions involve multiple solutions.

Essential Steps Summarized

- 1. Identify series of steps (Process Map).
- 2. Brainstorm possible causes that could create the error (Cause-and-Effect Diagram).
- 3. Investigate the possible causes, identifying the most probably causes (5 Whys).
- 4. Analyze the most probable causes (Process Map).
- 5. Repeat steps 3 and 4 until root cause is identified.
- 6. Determine corrective action (Brainstorming, Affinity Diagram, X-Y Decision Matrix).
- 7. Implement (Implementation/Action Plan).
- 8. Assess effectiveness (Audits, Run Charts, Pareto Charts); repeat steps 3 to 8 if needed.
- 9. Trend and track performance to ensure correction is sustained.

Objective #2: What critical questions need to be asked when responding to a nonconforming event.



Error Correction Report Case Study

- Test ABC has been reported for over 5 years with a normal reference interval of 300 500 units.
- The linearity range in the LIS was 100 1500. The linearity range is not included in the patient report.
- After 5 years an error was noted, the actual validated range was 100 1000, range was corrected in LIS.
- About 20,000 test results were released during the 5-year period.
- No potential impact to patient was determined (all very "high" results were still very "High")
- If a corrected report was to be generated, a typical change would be from "1,200 units" to >1,000 units (both results remained significantly above the normal reference interval).

ECR Case Study Questions

- 1. Should the patient be notified of the nonconformance?
- 2. Should the clinician be notified of the nonconformance?
- 3. Should the report be corrected?

Critical Questions to be asked

- Who needs to be involved?
- Does it need to be investigated?
- Does it need to be reported?
 - Was there harm or potential harm?
 - Will the clinician do anything different?
 - Is there any benefit to the patient or clinician to be notified?
 - Is there any benefit to the laboratory to send out a notification?
 - Is there any risk to the laboratory?

Objective #3: How to utilize common Lean tools to guide a laboratory's decision response process.



Cisior Σ



 \frown art Cision \bigcirc D N S S D ∑ S



Valuable Resources:



CLSI QMS11 Nonconforming Event Management

QMS06-A3 Quality Management System: Continual Improvement; Approved Guideline-Third Edition is granteling environment and device many or an engine a stand that is an alternated consumption of a part of the design party

CLSI QMS06 Continual Improvement

Discussion

- Questions
- Clarification
- Comments





Anne T. Daley MS, CMQOE, CSSBB, CLC, MT, DLM President, Daley Consulting LLC <u>anne@daleyconsulting.net</u> <u>www.daleyconsulting.net</u>

"The significant problems we face today cannot be solved at the same level of thinking we were at when we created them."

Albert Einstein 1879-1955