Creating and Sustaining the Culture of Patient Safety Through Interdisciplinary Collaboration

> PRESENTERS: Cindy Cassity, RN, BSN, CPPS Allen Stanton, MT, DLM (ASCP)

BAYLOR UNIVERSITY MEDICAL CENTER DALLAS, TEXAS OCTOBER 24, 2017



BAYLOR UNIVERSITY MEDICAL CENTER

BAYLOR University Medical Center at Dallas Part of #BaylorScott&White HEALTH

Who is BUMC?





OUTPATIENT VISITS 147,077

Ó.

2016

MEDICAL RESIDENTS 244

LICENSED BEDS



952



1,356





114.8 acres

Nationally Recognized



U.S. News & World Report's 2015-2016 "Best Hospitals" Ranking (11 recognitions)



Society of Thoracic Surgeons Three-Star Rating



Third Recognition: Excellence in Nursing Magnet Award



The Joint Commission: COPD Gold Seal



Dallas Child Magazine: 2016 Best Place to Have a Baby



National Pancreas Foundation: National Center of Excellence



DNV National Comprehensive Stroke Center



19th Consecutive Consumer Choice Awards

Learning Objectives

- Discuss the role of teamwork and communication in patient safety.
- Describe how to create a multidisciplinary team as a strategy to improve outcomes through practices, procedures and policies.
- Provide innovative examples of how teamwork and collaboration improved specimen errors (ie: Model 1, Specimen 5 Step, Patient Safety Alert).

A Word to Laboratorians

- Are you "at the table" or are you "on the menu"?
- We have always provided accurate data
- That is no longer enough
- We MUST be engaged and active participants
- Guess what?! The other players in the healthcare team want and need your wisdom and knowledge

Getting Your Message Across

• TIMING is Critical

- Having a good sense of timing makes a message strike right at the heart of a matter
- PERSISTENCE is Critical
 - Presenting a consistent message multiple times, over weeks, months, even years may be required

• AUDIENCE is Critical

- Recognizing an informal power structure is as important as appreciating the Org Chart
- FLEXIBILITY is Critical
 - Knowing your truth, but being ready to learn more truth or a new way encourages your peers



- Cal, born healthy baby boy in large accredited hospital
- Jaundiced through visual assessment, but a bilirubin test was not done
- Cal suffered irreparable brain damage known as kernicterus
- Brain damage was 100% preventable
- "Total failure of medical system"

 Pat, died at the age of 45 from misdiagnosed tumor



So...Why are WE here?

What happened?
Can you relate?
Could this happen in your hospital?
Could this happen to you?
Could this happen to someone you love who is a patient?

Reliability: Learning from Industry



- Truly exceptional organizations have the same properties
 - Airline and Nuclear Power industries
- <u>Everyone</u> treated with respect every day
- Employees have the tools & flexibility to do the job
- Work is recognized & acknowledged

Human Factors

• Error is inevitable because of human limitations



Crew Resource Management



Focus on teamwork, communication, flattening hierarchy, managing error, situational awareness, decision making
Non-punitive reporting of near misses, 500,000 reports over 15 years
Very open culture regarding error and safety

Team Members

- Physicians
- Nurses
- PCA, PCT
- Transporters
- Laboratory
- Phlebotomy
- Lab processing
- Radiology

- Unit secretaries
- Social workers
- Dietitian & Diet techs
- Pharmacist & Techs
- Chaplains
- Respiratory Care
- PT, OT, ST
- Patients and families

EVERYONE!!!

Barriers to Teamwork

- Autonomy
- Lack of team training
- Lack of trust
- Conflict



- Face to face conversations absent
- Lack of time
- Lack of respect

Master Key to Teamwork

•Effective Communication



Why Improve Communication?



•The overwhelming majority of untoward events involve communication failure •For instance...wrong site surgery -somebody knows there's a problem but is afraid to speak up The clinical environment has evolved beyond the limits of individual human performance

Root Causes: Joint Commission Sentinel Events



0 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 90 100

Standards of Effective Communication

- Complete
 - all relevant information
- Clear
 - so that is plainly understood
- Brief
 - in a concise manner
- Timely
 - in an appropriate time frame

How to Build the Multidisciplinary Team



BUMC Nurse Lab Collaboration Committee

Getting started...

- Engage an executive sponsor, facilitator and physician champion
- Dedicate a lab and nurse champion (Chairs)
- Recruit reps for all patient care areas/servicelines
- Create a priority list to build your agenda
 - Adverse events, good catches
 - Patient safety/experience survey results
 - Issues reported with rounding or huddles

Before you meet...

- Create agenda
- Plan for minutes/takeaways
- □ What governing body will this team report up to?
- Determine responsibility of bi-directional communication
- Determine one or two goals (with metrics)
- Create dial-in/conference option
- Make it fun!
- Food



Sample of Agenda

Time: 12:00 PM

WISSION: Founder

VISION:

To be trusted as the best place to give and

receive safe, quality, compassionate

care.

QUAL

SERVIC

T

FOPLE

CE

Date: Friday, May 5th , 2017

BUMC Nursing Laboratory Collaboration Workgroup FY 2017 #9 - Agenda

Location: 400 Jonsson Classroom - Basement

Ground Rules

 "Be Present" i.e., actively engaged & prepared
 Begin and end on time

No hidden agendas

- Members must notify leader if unable to attend and make arrangements as necessary (substitutes only
- when absolutely necessary)
 All team members are equally important
- Team members will speak freely & will listen attentively to others. No interrupting each other.
- Each gets their say, not necessarily their way
 Trust Principles

> Silence equals agreement

- Be on time. No backing up to catch latecomers.
 No distractions (i.e.
- Members respect
- confidentiality of team
 Once we agree, we will
- > Processes will be
- discussed, analyzed or attacked, not people
- No sidebars
 Always have a timekeeper.
- facilitator and minute taker > Purpose identified on the
- agenda
 Get info and homework out
- prior to meeting (disseminate information)

VP Sponsor:			Recorder: Carol Hataway	Timekeeper: Carol Hataway	
			Facilitator: Carol Hataway & Shawnette Graham		
Circle o Care	^f Time		Торіс		Person
Consent Items		 Minutes for April 7rd meeting FY17 WBIT/WSIC & Missing 2 initials Reports Email from Cindy Cassity Regarding WBIT WSIC-Initials Missing FY 17, sent 5/4/17 Best Care Presentation 			
Quality	12:00 pm	POC Glucose	Linda Goldberg		
Quality	12:10 pm	Lab Divert Project			Cindy Cassity
Quality	12:20 pm	WBIT/WSIC Project			Cindy Cassity
Quality	12:30 pm	Short Samples – BD			Carol Hataway
Quality	12:35 pm	QI Projects involving Nursing and Laboratory - WBIT/WSIC Project – Cindy Cassity - Blood Culture Collection Instructions – Shawnette Graham - Lab Divert Project – Cindy Cassity - Short Samples (new) – Carol Hataway - CLABSI – Pilot w/ 4T with Blood Culture Collection Observations - CAUTI – Provide Lab data, potential evaluation of a urine tube with preservative			Carol Hataway
Quality	12:40 pm	Lab Alerts - D Managers/Sup CMC Director 4/17/2017 – T	istributed by Mary Rehn to BUMC E pervisors, Clinical Managers/VP list s CT no longer part of the Hemostasi	ducators (Outlook) , Lab provided by Jeff Place and s Profile or DIC Panel	Carol Hataway
		Parking Lot -	Developing a lab alert tool for nursi	ng	
		Review of Me Next Meeting	eting: Assignments, homework		

Conference Call-In Line 1-888-458-6153 Password 6915387#

Mislabeled Specimens: Background Refresher

- Patient misidentification is a root cause of healthcare errors.
- One of the more serious mistakes is to incorrectly identify a blood specimen we send to pathology.
- Number of mislabeled specimens are thought to be much higher.
- Nurses do not have handheld positive patient ID technology to collect blood or nonblood specimens.

Mislabeled Specimens: Solutions

1. Model 1

- blood specimens only
- 2. Specimen 5 Step

 all specimens when collected without handheld positive patient ID technology



Example 1: Model 1

- Process modeling revealed causative factors to be policy/process, human factors, the environment, and education/awareness.
- Prioritization activities using affinity diagrams revealed the need to incorporate technology to nurse blood collections to assure positive patient identification occurred, including the ability to print the patient label at the bedside using a handheld device.

Model 1 Phlebotomy-Assisted Nurse Collects

RN and Phlebotomist must be at patient's bedside before beginning process.

1. Phlebotomist and RN have all needed supplies.



4. Phlebotomist prints labels and leaves on printer.



2. Phlebotomist scans patient's armband.



- 5. RN collects blood appropriately & Phlebotomist fills tubes in correct order.
- 6. Phlebotomist inverts tubes.
- 7. Phlebotomist labels tubes at bedside in presence of RN.
- 8. Phlebotomist documents RN name in handheld.

3. Phlebotomist confirms patient NAME and DOB.



9. RN/Phlebotomist confirms labels on specimen before leaving bedside.



Project Overview

The Model 1 process promotes patient safety with improved teamwork and communication between phlebotomy and nursing and reduces:

- Mislabeled blood events; but also
 - blood specimens sent to lab without an order ("no orders"),
 - wrong collection tube used, incorrect specimen handling, and incomplete labeling of specimen,
 - phone calls

The Model 1 process led to a 100% reduction in mislabeled blood specimens which has been sustained more than 56+ weeks.

The Team

Executive Sponsor Team Leads

Team

JaNeene Jones RN FACHE, BHCS Vice President Cindy Cassity RN BSN CPPS, Patient Safety Manager Mike Newhouse MT(ASCP)SBB, Lab Director Carol Cather RN BSN CMSRN, Nurse Manager Lisa Florida RN BSN CCTN, Nurse Supervisor Carol Hataway MT(ASCP), Lab Manager Leonard Johnson PBT(ASCP), Phlebotomy Supervisor Lisa Jones CLA(ASCP) MT(HEW), Phlebotomy Manager Travis Sandidge PBT(ASCP), Phlebotomist Missie Verret MT(ASCP), Lab Educator Colleen Weaver RN BSN CCRN, Nurse Supervisor Claudia Wilder DNP RN NEA-BC, Chief Nursing Officer

Facilitator

Financial Implications

Baseline (pre-analytic) turn-around time was 73 minutes. Current (pre-analytic) turn-around time is 57 minutes (**22%** decrease in time).

This cost reduction was achieved by improving efficiency and quality of the blood collection process.

Cost per collection (two RNs) was \$11.40.

Current cost per collection (one RN, one phlebotomist) is \$5.60 (**49%** decrease in cost).

This cost reduction was achieved by replacing 2nd RN witness and by improving efficiency and quality of the blood collection process.

Patient and Family Centeredness

- Patients see model as a "safety check" and feel confident with overall care
- Overall reduction in lab errors has the downstream effect of ensuring correct and prompt lab results, resulting in less delays.





Balancing Measure

No Orders Reported lab events in Midas Other Lab Error Events Linear (No Orders) Linear (Reported lab events in Midas) 140 135 130 125 11 120 115 110 105 100 95 90 85 Number of Events 80 75 70 65 60 55 50 45 40 35 30 25 20 15 10 5 0 8/15-8/28 10/10/-10/23 10/24-11/6 7/2-7/15 8/1-8/14 11/6-62/8 02/11-1/10 12/5-12/18 6/4-6/17 9/12-9/25 9/26-10/9 7/16-7/29 11/21-12/4 1/1-61/21 1/2-1/15 1/16-1/29 1/30-2/12 2/13-2/26 2/27-3/11 3/12-3/25 3/26-4/8 4/9-4/22 t/23-5/6 5/7-5/20 5/21-6/3 6/18-7/1 Timeline (Fiscal Year 2012)

The magnitude of improvement with the Model 1 process produced unintended consequences of other lab error reductions including "no orders" and "reported lab events in MIDAS".

Lessons Learned

- Seek the right people to be on your team
- Dedicated champions for nursing and phlebotomy will make change easier
- Education/awareness using visual tools is key
- Meet regularly (rounding or huddles) with frontline staff
- Coach "Stop the Line" policy with any deviation of process
- Leadership support to dedicate resources for technology and staffing is important

Technology alone does not improve patient safety – teamwork improves patient safety

Example 2: Specimen 5 Step

- Process modeling revealed causative factors to be policy/process, human factors, the environment, and education/awareness.
- Prioritization activities using spider/affinity diagrams revealed the need to incorporate an independent two person check to assure positive patient identification occurred

Specimen 5-Step

□ Highlights 5 critical elements of specimen collection <u>in specific order</u> Deviations causing mislabeled specimen will prompt invite to Nurse Leadership **Executive Team for** case review

 Attestation
 Statement must be completed/signed in file

Let's do the SPECIMEN 5-STEP

Grab your partner and learn the Specimen 5-Step! Physicians, nurses and all staff should follow all 5 steps when collecting blood and non-blood specimens from BUMC inpatients and outpatients. Practice makes perfect... and perfect protects our patients!

Gather supplies for collecting and labeling specimen



Collect specimen

At the bedside, label specimen tube/container and write date, time and initials on label

Ask patient to initial the label verifying that full name and birthdate are correctly printed on label

 a. If patient unable/unwilling, ask family to verify and initial the label at bedside
 b. As a last resort: if family unable unwilling/absent, ask another healthcare provider to verify and initial the label at bedside

REMINDER { Before sending to the Lab, confirm two initials, date and time of collection are all on the label!

Doing the Specimen 5-step helps ensure our patients benefit from prompt and accurate testing, results and treatment! Help protect them from recollection or mistakes due to mislabeled specimens.

Got the 5-step down pat? Help coach your teammates and "stop the line" If you see any deviations. View the specimen labeling policy and information on BUMC Patient Safety's myBaylor page.



SPECIMEN

Project Overview

- The Specimen 5-Step process promotes patient safety with improved teamwork and communication between nursing staff, patient/family and other members of the team and reduces:
 - Mislabeled specimens; but also
 - specimens sent to lab without a physician order ("no orders"),
 - phone calls,
 - recollects
- The Specimen 5 Step process led to a xx% reduction in mislabeled non-blood specimens which has been sustained more than xx weeks. -- TBD

Patient and Family Centeredness

- Patients/family see the 5 Step as a "safety check" and want to be a part of this process.
- Overall reduction in lab errors has the downstream effect of ensuring correct and prompt lab results, resulting in less delays.

Results to date

CURRENT RESULTS: MISLABELED SPECIMENS



BAYLOR University Medical Center at Dallas Part of #BaylorScott & White HEALTH

Barriers to Success

- Not following 5 critical steps in order
- No full comprehension of what is Positive Patient ID
 - Matching what patient states + armband + label + order
- Rushing/Interruptions (and not starting 5 step over again)
- Patient verifying label doesn't always "catch" error
- No bedside technology in area of high volume/high risk (Emergency Department)

Next Steps

- Continue interventions of Specimen 5-Step program
- Continue NLT mini-case reviews
- Discuss lessons learned with frontline leaders in huddles
- Observational audits of specimen collections (TBD)

All Nurse Managers From: Lab Department Leaders Date: September 26, 2017 Re: Wrong patient identification entered in glucometer	Patient Safety Alert		
<section-header> Situation: Omitted, delayed or wrong treatment for glucose results may occur when patient identification is not correct in glucometer. Situation: There have been multiple reports of glucose patient <u>MISidentification</u> of different patients, different departments since August. The new glucometer software has uncovered this chronic error. Situation: There have been multiple reports of glucose patient <u>MISidentification</u> of different patients, different departments since August. The new glucometer software has uncovered this chronic error. Stessment: This error occurs in the following situations: Omitted, delayed or wrong treatment for glucose patient <u>MISidentification</u> of different patients with old hospital armband. Optimizer of patient softmatic bis chronic error. This error occurs in the following situations: Optimizer of patient for glucose patient identification is not performed. 10 Positive patient identification is not performed. Optimizer of patient for glucose patient bis different departments incover on -BUMC patient armbands immediately. 10 Positive patient identification (ID) by asking patient to state full name and birthdate which must match glucometer ID, armband and order. Optimizer of patient bier fibracode is scanned and no patient identification or incorrect patient identification shows up on glucometer. Notify the patient's nurse of issue. Optimizer of all carbot is fully patient is nurse of issue. 0. Incorrect Patient ID Optimizer of patient is nurse of issue. 0. Bot morrecty patient is nurse of</section-header>	 Created collaboratively to increase awareness Brought to Tier 2 Huddles by lab leaders Tier 2 rep takes to 		
 Invalid Patient ID Operating Patient ID	Tier 1 huddle to share with frontline staff for one week		

09.22.2017 cmc/lg

Team Structure and Communication

• ENGAGING AND COMMUNICATING ACROSS SERVICE LINES TO CREATE SUCCESSFUL WORKGROUPS.



Safety Culture

- A culture where ALL workers accept responsibility for safety of themselves, their coworkers, patients and visitors
- Encourages and rewards the identification, communication and resolution of safety issues
- Encourages non-punitive reporting of errors

Safety Culture (cont'd)

- Provides for organizational learning from accidents
- Provides appropriate resources, structure and accountability to maintain effective safety systems
- Prioritizes safety above financial and operational goals

Questions?



Cindy Cassity, BSN, RN, CPPS Cindy Cassity@bswhealth.org

Allen Stanton, MT, DLM (ASCP) allen.stanton@bswhealth.org