

Improving Quality, Saving Time and Creating Smiles in the Immunostains Lab

Angela Eipers-Edwards; Matthew Bloxham M.A.; Melanie Hintz M.S. HTL (ASCP); Sara Hoheisel M.S.; Frank Walsh; Christina Halling HT(ASCP); Fazi Amirahmadi Ph.D.; Anja Roden M.D.; Karen Rech M.D. **Division of Anatomic Pathology, Department of Laboratory Medicine & Pathology** Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN

Introduction

- Currently, Immunostains lab stains approximately 1200 slides and reads approximately 225 QC slides per day.
- Every year volume increases have increased QC technologists' responsibilities, reading on-slide QC, and troubleshooting.
- Communication among technologists on different shifts has become more difficult.
- Training has become increasingly challenging due to increased volumes.
- Memorizing test name variation and work flows are becoming nearly impossible.
- Interruptions while recording QC has caused missed entries on QC form.
- Analyzing QC slides and performing QC activities has become very stressful.
- All the above challenges have created a work environment in which QC reading and recording has become unstable, inaccurate, and time consuming.

Define

Aim Statement:

Reduce quality control activities by 30% by Dec. 2018

Measure

Timings were collected for QC activities prior to change implementation.

- Morning troubleshooting Communication signs Run reconstruction
- Daily QC replenishment Reorganizing and standardizing box labels Making QC labels
- Standardizing stain name Organizing protocols
- Post staining sort ٠ Leaving in run after coverslipper Sorting for slide sorting delivery

Current state/pre-interventions: Quality control activities' work content = 251 minutes

Measure



The following major contributing factors were identified:

- Too much memorization
- Going outside of routines
- Which on-slide QCs do we record?
- Not receiving slides in consistent order
- QC labels are not done correctly
- Communication issues related to hand offs
- QC boxes are not labeled clearly
- Documents have many different names for certain tests

Improve

The following interventions for improvement were identified:

- Use visual signals/signs to communicate failed slides between shifts
- Standardize labeling QC boxes
- Standardize names of stains and alphabetization among documents
- Standardize protocol names in NEXES Ventana software
- Sort slides into runs instead of accession numbers

Improve



After implementation of all the interventions:

The quality control activities' work content was reduced from 251 to 119 minutes. 50% reduction in work content or 0.2 FTE saved.







Control

The following steps were taken to control and maintain the improvements and changes:

- Communicated the changes with techs at daily huddles and lab meetings
- Solicited one on one feedback and reflections from team members during improvement and coaching Kata meetings
- Updated SOPs to reflect changes
- Team will monitor the process and work content every 6 months to make sure that the new system is stable and identify and close the gaps.

Suggested next steps:

Team will evaluate the current value stream map and the existing staffing level to improve and meet the demand for rest of 2019 and beyond. We will look at adding a second QC reader.

Lessons Learned

- Communication using visual signs is easier and saves time
- Standardization of labeling QC boxes, names of stains has been greatly improved with both speed and accuracy
- Standardization of protocol names in NEXES Ventana software has improved the speed and accuracy of QC labels selection
- Allowing QC reader to look at slides by run instead of reconstruct the run has reduced rework and created more organized work area